<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>In Salem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adding multiple family housing in this area will GREATLY diminish the</td>
<td>Elizabeth Backer</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>values of the single family properties in the Cambridge Forest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estates community. We bought our homes specifically because they are</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not near this type of zoning. DO NOT do this.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It seems counter to city goals to rezone this entire area SFH when</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>97301</td>
<td></td>
<td>Southeast Salem Neighborhood</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>it's already MFH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Association (SESNA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We do NOT want a multi family compound near our beautiful</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neighborhood. We don't want apartments or condos near us</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed use? Why is it changing at all. I see other acreage homes on</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td></td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>this map still zoned RA. If this is to be changed, why</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wouldn't it be RS like all the adjoining land is zoned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This change is absolutely essential. Park density in NE Salem</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>97301</td>
<td></td>
<td>State Hospital and Penitentiary</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must increase.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Not a neighborhood)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putting multi use in the middle of a quiet neighborhood is counter</td>
<td>Deanna White</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>productive. We are already fighting children crossing to Sumpter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School from speeding cars going North on Lone Oak. How this area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>translates in to Multi use is uncompe lile.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the rationale for changing this &quot;farm&quot; into multiple</td>
<td>Peter Meyer</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>family residential?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why is this area going from Single R to R4 when there is no</td>
<td>Paula Fordham</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>infrastructure to cope with the massive influx of people that</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>would come with this change?</td>
<td>Paula Fordham</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why is this entire area being changed to SFR from Multiple when the</td>
<td>Paula Fordham</td>
<td>R5</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>Northeast Neighbors (NEN)</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reverse is being suggested in West Salem that</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>absolutely CANNOT handle the additional people</td>
<td>Paula Fordham</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Salem CANNOT handle any more infrastructure to handle it.</td>
<td>Paula Fordham</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West we DO NOT have the infrastructure to accommodate</td>
<td>Paula Fordham</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the people who already live in West. We do NOT want more</td>
<td>Paula Fordham</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multi-family housing add</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Salem CANNOT accommodate more multi-family dwellings. We are</td>
<td>RM1</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at capacity on the roads and in schools.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood hubs should only exist where there are continuous</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sidewalks in all possible directions for a minimum of 400'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IfC0's about time we need more available housing at a wider range of</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>price points.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IfC0's essential to provide more residential options for families</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and citizens, happy to see this proposal.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think this area really could use more accessible housing, West</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is limited in options and this will help with that availability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>issue.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would like to reject the proposed zoning change. My family and I</td>
<td>Sheryl Mendez</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>are currently in the process of buying this property. Part</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of what we love about it is the country feel. We were also hoping to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>have a hobby farm and grow Christmas trees.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would like this zone to be kept residential or residential</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agricultural, houses are already so hard to find in West, and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hobby farms would have a hard time with the zone change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not support the zone change, please keep it</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>residential single family or grow the residential agricultural</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not support the zone change, please reconsider and keep it</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>single family residential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please keep the existing zone or add additional residential</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agricultural space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We do not want another apartment or condo out any multi family</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complex built in our neighborhood!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I live here and I am somewhat concerned about the Residential 4</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Central Area Neighborhood</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>designation because there is no street parking allowed on</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Development Organization (CAN-DO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>either Willow or Knapps Pl. Currently these are all homes, but it</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>could be an issue for future Live-Work Spaces.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Believe the triangle RA with public ROW more suitable than current</td>
<td>E.M. Easterly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH proposal.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
27 Please stop building in west Salem. Traffic is insane coming and going. The growth of west Salem in the last 20 years has been enormous. Please stop taking away the remaining rural portion of west Salem. We live here to be closer to the country.

RA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

28 Poor sitting on hill. Recomment area to west.

E.M. Easterly NH 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

29 Our West Salem schools, roads, and single bridge into Salem cannot support another apartment or condo complex. I strongly oppose multi-family zoning at this location. The only benefit is to the future developer at the detriment of the city.

RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

30 Having additional retail and restaurant options in West Salem for small businesses is great on this side of the bridge. I hope the emphasis is on retail and dining with limited housing. West Salem does not need more apartments and condos in this location

MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

31 West Salem cannot support additional multi-family zoning. The schools are overcrowded and the infrastructure cannot handle it.

NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

32 This area is a quiet neighborhood with little traffic and almost no crime. We do not want apartments here, especially without infrastructure improvements to support it. We will be moving if you ruin our neighborhood with apartments.

NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

33 NO TO ADDITIONAL HOUSING. This is a wonderful place to take kids to and enjoy the little greenery we have in West Salem residential areas. Putting more housing here will have a NEGATIVE affect on where kids can go to play safely.

Brittany PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

34 Strongly against proposed zoning change to multiple residential. West Salem does not have the capacity for additional multiple family residential. Changing this zoning creates an untenable situation for West Salem residents. I STRONGLY oppose.

Amy RM1 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

35 This area cannot handle more housing. Resources are already limited. Traffic is bad enough. We don’t need more apartments here. Especially ones near a park.

John NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

36 As a resident of this neighborhood this is very concerning and we are very opposed to this change. This particular area is quiet, single family home neighborhood. Re-zoning this land would negatively impact not only the neighborhood, but the park as well

Kristen RA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

37 We oppose the proposed change to zoning. Our livelihood would be affected, multi family would likely increase our taxes and affect our plans for a hobby farm.

Kayla & Jeremiah Anderson NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

38 Please do not change the zoning of these parcels. We have consulted with our neighbors, and no one wants the zoning here to change. We moved here because of the zoning, and don’t want these restrictions.

NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

39 I reject the plan to change the zoning of the property that I own. I bought this property because it was rural, and don’t want that to change.

NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

40 Beautiful pond and natural habitat would be harmed by zoning changes. Wildlife abounds in this area, and would be harmed by zoning changes.

NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

41 Dan Avenue homeowner opposed to zoning change & proposed buildings.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

42 I strongly oppose rezoning this area. West Salem cannot handle additional multi-family residences. This area does not have the infrastructure to support this proposed change.

Ben NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

43 We live on Dan Ave and we are opposed to this change.

RM2 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

44 Home owner on Dan Ave opposed to this proposed change in zoning

RM2 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

45 I strongly disagree with the proposed zoning changes to this and the surrounding property. The change will limit my planned use of my land as well as HUGELY impact my livelihood and the upbringing of my children that I had planned when I purchased.

Jeremiah Anderson NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

46 Sec. 265.005 (e)(a)(ii) & III Says any zone change must be compatible and be demonstrated to be equally or better suited to the surrounding area. Changing from RA to multi family DOES NOT fall under this section. Therefore a zone change should be denied.

RM1 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

47 Multi family housing is completely inappropriate for this small sliver of land. If it must be developed, it should be single family housing.

Catherine NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
48 Not to mention slope. City standards won’t allow for a road off liberty to the middle of this property, it is too sloped. You are also making the homes that exist obsolete with this zoning. NH or something else would be an improvement over MU.  
RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

49 I support this location for NH. Main road, not taking away existing residential or too deep into a neighborhood. Easy off street access and located in short proximity to high and middle schools and multiple estates that otherwise would need to travel 2.5  
Amanda Cody NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

50 Dan Avenue homeowner against proposed changes to Multi Family housing zone. We lack the infrastructure to support this!  
Cara RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

51 Sec. 265.005 (e)(a) & III Says any zone change must be compatible and be demonstrated to be equally or better suited to the surrounding area. Rm2 is not equal & bc of this the zone change should be denied and kept at RA orchg to RS.  
Tammy Karschnia RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

52 At the risk of sounding insensitive; who thinks the middle of this nice neighborhood would be a good idea for CR zoning?  
RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

53 Nice neighborhood with nice RS homes. MU would definitely decrease current and future values. Thus a subsequent loss in prop tax revenue based on lower values.  
RS 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

54 Please keep this area either RS or RA in keeping with the rest of the area. This would be the least disruptive to the wildlife in the area.  
RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

55 Please change this to RS in keeping with the rest of the housing in the area.  
RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

56 Strongly oppose. No more apartments in W. Salem!!  
Christine RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

57 STRONGLY oppose a multi-family development here. Unfortunately, apartments have a tendency to create crime. I am a property manager and have seen this first hand. PLEASE reject this zone change.  
NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

58 Not crazy about business mixed use buildings showing up in the middle of a residential area, and on a main, single-lane road to town!  
MU-I 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

59 Travel will become a nightmare on Glen Creek if this becomes mixed use.  
MU-I 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

60 Sec. 265.005 (e)(a) & III Says any zone change must be compatible and be demonstrated to be equally or better suited to the surrounding area. That means keep as RA or change to RS. Also schools can’t support the # of kids.  
RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

61 I don’t support the chg. Sec. 265.005 (e)(a) & III Says any zone change must be compatible and be demonstrated to be equally or better suited to the surrounding area. That means keep as RA or change to RS. Also schools can’t support the # of kids.  
RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

62 I don’t support the chg. Sec. 265.005 (e)(a) & III Says any zone change must be compatible and be demonstrated to be equally or better suited to the surrounding area. That means keep as RA or change to RS. Also schools can’t support the # of kids.  
RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

63 I don’t support the chg. Sec. 265.005 (e)(a) & III Says any zone change must be compatible and be demonstrated to be equally or better suited to the surrounding area. That means keep as RA or change to RS. Also schools can’t support the # of kids.  
RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

64 I don’t support the chg. Sec. 265.005 (e)(a) & III Says any zone change must be compatible and be demonstrated to be equally or better suited to the surrounding area. That means keep as RA or change to RS. Also schools can’t support the # of kids.  
RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

65 I don’t support the chg. Sec. 265.005 (e)(a) & III Says any zone change must be compatible and be demonstrated to be equally or better suited to the surrounding area. That means keep as RA or change to RS. Also schools can’t support the # of kids.  
RM2 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

66 I don’t support the chg. Sec. 265.005 (e)(a) & III Says any zone change must be compatible and be demonstrated to be equally or better suited to the surrounding area. That means keep as RA or change to RS. Also schools can’t support the # of kids.  
RM2 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

67 I don’t support the chg. Sec. 265.005 (e)(a) & III Says any zone change must be compatible and be demonstrated to be equally or better suited to the surrounding area. That means keep as RA or change to RS. Also schools can’t support the # of kids.  
RM2 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
68 I don't support the chg. Sec. 265.005 (e)1(a)II & III. Says any zone change must be compatible and be demonstrated to be equally or better suited to the surrounding area. That means keep as RA or change to RS. Also schools can't support the # of kids.

69 I don't support the chg. Sec. 265.005 (e)1(a)II & III. Says any zone change must be compatible and be demonstrated to be equally or better suited to the surrounding area. That means keep as RA or change to RS. Also schools can't support the # of kids.

70 This area cannot support more multi family dwellings. Please No More Apartments or condos.

71 This site is a water reservoir; why change to RS?

72 This area needs at least a small park for the 800 homes forecasted to be built where the mushroom farm was.

73 This developing area doesn't have a park nearby. A portion of the land designated for industrial should be changed to park so that children have a safe place to play.

74 There is too much pedestrian and automobile traffic to change to Mixed use. This will put our children at risk walking through the neighborhoods or to the high school.

75 NO RM or RH Zoning. Out of place for neighborhood. Negative impact on surrounding properties. Retain RA Zoning or PA. Plant some trees, create nature path / bike path, wildlife habitat area, some space for community garden and dog park.

76 We do not want town houses or apartments crowding our beautiful neighborhood!! Stop zoning changes.

77 As a new homeowner on Mousebird, we absolutely not approve of this being a hub area. It is too close to schools and this area is already overcrowded with homes. This backs up to a greenspace inviting loitering and encampments to the area.

78 This is not an appropriate place for additional housing, the schools are overflowing in this zone and this park is a pleasant place for children/families/residents to walk and play. This will be a negative affect to this community.

79 Many of the kids in the neighborhood already use this area to gather and play in the fields. Adding a play structure/official city park would be a nice addition to this area.

80 Wouldn't this change mean we'd lose the farming option that is so vital right now?

81 We strongly oppose this zoning idea. It would not benefit our neighborhood, would reduce our property values, increase traffic. Plus, this is someone's current property. Let's shut this idea down ASAP

82 I own this parcel, and do not agree with or consent to the change of zoning

83 I would like to see this area left as RA, having a homeowner who is willing to use the space for Christmas Tree farm seems to be much better use for the neighborhood.
I like this! We need more smaller business areas in West Salem to reduce congestion on the bridge! Would love to see small personal services like coffee, bakery, hair salon, etc. show up in neighborhoods.

MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

I like this! We need more smaller business areas in West Salem to reduce congestion on the bridge! Would love to see small personal services like coffee, bakery, hair salon, etc. show up in neighborhoods.

MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

I like this! We need more smaller business areas in West Salem to reduce congestion on the bridge! Would love to see small personal services like coffee, bakery, hair salon, etc. show up in neighborhoods.

MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

The ESMU zoning is more liberal in approved uses. I personally know of projects scheduled to be built in this area that may be discouraged by the MU-II zoning. I am also the property owner for 1012 3rd St NW, and 295 Patterson St NW. I would prefer ESMU.

Brandon ESMU 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

The ESMU zoning is more liberal in approved uses. I personally know of projects scheduled to be built in this area that may be discouraged by the MU-II zoning. I am also the property owner for 1012 3rd St NW, and 295 Patterson St NW. I would prefer ESMU.

Brandon ESMU 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

This makes sense for this area.

MU-III 0 Northgate Neighborhood Association Ward 5 YES

I strongly oppose rezoning this area. West Salem cannot handle multi-family residence. We are at capacity already. Our bridges have already been an issue. We do not have the infrastructure to support this proposed change in West Salem.

Tina NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

I do not support this zone change. There is a local shopping center a few hundred yards away at Cunningham and Liberty SE. It is not conducive to any neighborhood services that aren't already available & will cause traffic hazards on a busy street.

Paul Sundermier NH 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

Do not put a multi family development! We pay lots of money to live in a nice neighborhood and do not want apartments or rentals here!

NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Not within Salem Ward NO

Please don't. I could list a number of practical reasons, but more than that, we've built and protected a solid, functioning culture and neighborhood and have invested our lives and savings to get here. Re-zoning this is a slap in the face.

RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

We cannot have multi housing ruin the oak grove. A sacred land. Please no multi housing right next to a well maintained neighborhood. I oppose this idea of multi housing.

NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

I'm not opposed to this in theory but the city will need to address traffic safety at this location. Westfarthing and Glen Creek is already a treacherous intersection - adding commercial establishments without a turn lane or light would lead to disaster.

Michael S. MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

West Salem CANNOT accommodate more multi-family dwellings. We are at capacity on the roads and in schools. This is also a terrible spot for apartments and would RUIN the West Meadows neighborhood. How about a nice neighborhood park for our kids?

Michael S. RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

The proposed change will not benefit the existing community as it will increase traffic, destroy an Oak Grove, and further challenge a marginal water supply. Against this change

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

I am opposed to the change in zoning for this parcel of land. A Multiple Family Residential zone does not make since for this area not only for environmental reasons but because of the lack of infrastructure and amenities available.

Matthew Jarvis RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

Frustrating! We JUST moved to this beautiful, quiet, low-traffic neighborhood to raise our family. Property values (hard earned money invested), traffic, and safety will change dramatically with multi-fam housing just down the street. NO change wanted!

RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

We also strongly oppose this proposal for multi-family. This change would bring a negative impact on home values, increase crime, high traffic and demands on water supply. This is detrimental to our peaceful neighborhood and cannot be undone.

West Meadows Estates Homeowner RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

Multi-fam would decrease residents' quality of life & net worth (home value). It's a nice-end-of-normal area with well-kept properties and quiet streets. Please keep this area for single-family homes! Don't hurt the community that is already established.

RS 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
110 Also—why add more congestion to West Salem without the bridge/road infrastructure? This is absurd and applies to any proposed rezoning in West from RS to multi-fam. Try better parks or clean up Wallace.

111 We would very much like to discourage adding anymore multiple family housing as traffic and resources are already limited in this area.

112 West Salem already faces infrastructure issues with the greatest one being the traffic issues. The city continues to reject a third bridge and refuses to address the issues. Adding another multi-unit development would only increase traffic.

113 Please do not consider this parcel for Multi Family dwellings. We have concerns about traffic density, water supply/pressure, reduced values of nearby properties and potentially cutting down the trees that are currently on the property.

114 Having small businesses and access to goods and services on this side of the bridge would be great for our community.

115 Having more access to businesses and services in West Salem would be great - it would alleviate a lot of congestion crossing over the bridge by giving us the services and shops we need nearby.

116 A park would be great for the West Meadows neighborhood.

117 I understand the need for more multi family residential units however this location is a terrible idea when the apartments were built on Orchard heights and Linwood there was an increase in traffic and crime in west Salem. Property values will drop!

118 I like this! Adding more people will diversify and enrich the neighborhood.

119 Please do not put a high density multi-family complex here. Eola already has enough traffic! West Salem bridge has too much traffic, my son goes to Myers elementary and we don't need classroom sizes to increase.

120 Why would you put a hub so deep into a neighborhood?! Margarrett and Whitehall have too much traffic already. This is NOT supported in this area and we do not need more traffic!

121 There is already far too much traffic that gets routed through west meadows estates. Children play here. Apartment parking will overflow into the neighborhood. This will significantly reduce home values. Please reconsider this!

122 Although I see the benefit of having multi-family housing, West Salem has many options already and this would not benefit the community. This would increase the strain on our overcrowded schools and add to our traffic problems.

123 Allowing mixed use (large apartments) in an established residential neighborhood will not reduce greenhouse gas emissions so far from the downtown corridor, it will only serve to add congestion, decrease safety and livability and reduce property values.

124 Access to this area will only be on an already packed Glen Creek or exit from Crestbrook onto a major hill. You will be trapping people in inclement weather

125 Salem- Buy this land and make it a park. Keep it green to offset emissions while increasing surrounding residents a higher quality of life!

126 Would love to see a corner shop here for small items/food but do you think it's likely one of the existing homeowners would want to do that with their property? Seems unlikely to be effective. It would be fantastic to have a shop in walking distance

127 Would be nice to have an extended park here vs more houses. Why not put a bridge over the creek and make a path connecting these areas?

128 Isn't this somebody's house?

129 Walking by here is gorgeous and it would make me sad to see it developed over. : ( Should be a farm shop or RA something, idk but keep the field green!

130 This is a terrible idea. When we purchased our lovely home it was with the knowledge that only single family homes would go on that property. There is a very dangerous curve at the bottom of Fawn and Deerwind. More traffic will create even more danger.

131 Please don't allow this! The intersection from Crestbrook to Westfarthing has limited visibility. This will be very dangerous! NO, no, no!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>My property on Fawn backs up to this space. I am opposed to the proposed zoning change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Please do not build apartments here. There is a beautiful old growth cluster of oak trees. The Willamette Valley, once an Oak Savanna, is loosing almost all of its green space. Why not build a park or maintain this as green space?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>This is my home has been for over 6 years. This neighborhood is small and quiet. We dont need anymore apartments or houses. Schools in west salem will be overwhelmed and overcrowded. Please consider the lives of west salem residents. Build across the riv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>Has one of the properties owner I do not support the zone change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>I own this property and was never notified about this zone change which is infuriating why does the zoning in this area need to be changed if none of the home owners that owns these lands dont want it I strongly disagree with the zone change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Why do we need more apartments on this side of the bridge the infrastructure on that bridge coming into west salem is already maxed out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>This is our property and our home! How could you make these changes without consulting us? We have had absolutely no notice that this is happening. It is shameful that people in your positions would pull something like this. We strongly oppose this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>This property should be RS like the surrounding properties, no one should be subjected to apartment buildings in their front yards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>I vehemently oppose this zone change. No notice was provided to any of the owners or neighbors and changing RA to MU so incredibly drastic and doesn't fit the infrastructure of west salem or the current neighborhood and school!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>I vehemently oppose this zone change. No notice was provided to any of the owners or neighbors and changing RA to MU so incredibly drastic and doesn't fit the infrastructure of west salem or the current neighborhood and school!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>This is not needed and horrible. Profit for developers putting even more burden on schools as well as costing families accessible green space, reduced property values and higher traffic and parking problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>I feel the request for MF2 is ill‐advised at this location. It adjoins an existing RS HOA neighborhood including 2 newly built RS homes on the west side of Settler Springs. Orchard Heights traffic flies down at 45 MPH at the entrance to this area, DANGER!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>Has anyone checked protected species list for Acorn Woodpeckers which are heavily nesting in these Oak trees? MF2 could destroy the habitat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>There are only two streets for access and egress available to this plot of land, Settlers Spring and Orchard Heights. There is no access to the west under the Power Lines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>There are an abundance of “springs” underlying this land. Exposing them by heavy grading for MF dwellings and parking will add to surface water drainage issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>Strongly oppose proposed MU‐1 for my property. It is drastically different than the surrounding property zoning. Business/retail will exacerbate the already heavy traffic on this 2‐lane road. Current infrastructure cannot support this. DO NOT CHANGE ZONING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>Please read this article about Woodpeckers: <a href="https://www.oregonconservationstrategy.org/strategy-species/acorn-woodpecker/">https://www.oregonconservationstrategy.org/strategy-species/acorn-woodpecker/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>This property should be RS just like the surrounding properties. Roads &amp; schools cannot handle the proposed growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>DO NOT CHANGE TO MU‐1 this will cause un‐repairable damage to Gibson Creek and our beautiful wildlife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>As used in the R4 zone, what is a major street? 5th street north of D street NE is a resilient and vibrant residential neighborhood. It should not be cluttered with retail activity. Allow us to maintain our quiet retreat to our homes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
153 Mixed Use makes 100% sense for 805 Liberty ST NE. Not sure why it was ever considered High Rise residential before. It has been a professional office building for over 60 years along with neighboring properties. Dentists, attorneys, insurance agents

Elliott G. MU-I 97301 Central Area Neighborhood Development Organization (CAN-DO) Ward 1 YES

154 As residents of this neighborhood, we strongly disagree with this proposed change. It is a quiet and safe neighborhood. All that’s out here is single family units and open land. It doesn’t fit.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

155 No notice was given of this change. A change from RA to MU will destroy the wildlife and wetlands habitat on these properties, which are currently home to beavers, bobcats, great blue heron, and more.

RA 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

156 This property has a high water table and likely cannot support large heavy structures. In addition, a large portion of this property is on a 100-year flood plain. It does not make sense to change these properties to MU.

RA 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

157 I’d like some clarity on this proposed change. Will this become usable and accessible public park land or will it just be open space held until the next zoning change where it will become MF?

West Meadows Resident PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

158 Zoning change to this property will adversely effect the adjacent wetland and wildlife. This change will also further homogenize the community, making it less diverse by pushing small pockets of available space for urban agriculture further out of town.

Cory Heintz RA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

159 RA zoning needs to be preserved to allow for more urban agriculture and natural space for wildlife.

Cory Heintz RA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

160 The adjacent school and infrastructure cannot withstand such a change. The city needs to invest in more bike/ped facilities before they contemplate concentrating residential space.

Cory Heintz RA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

161 I strongly oppose any development next to Eola Ridge Park. We do not need more traffic, schools are already very full. Do not remove a patch of wildlife rich, ecologically diverse land with tall trees for the sake of profit.

Marlene Bogard R4 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

162 Multi Family Housing would work better closer to Wallace Rd where there are several areas not in use, including a park and ride lot that sat empty even prior to the pandemic. Please do not destroy the natural beauty and wildlife habitat on this property.

RA 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

163 I like the idea but this is a poor location. On a hill with fast traffic and a blind corner to the east. A corner on Eola and Doak might be a better spot.

Marlene Bogard R4 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

164 The Fairview mixed-use development also needs a section dedicated for a public park. This is a huge area and the small park on Reed Rd at Battle Creek is insufficient for all of the neighbors of this Fairview area. Something close to Old Strong Rd please

PA 0 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

165 This area needs a public park so kids don’t have to go all the way to Pringle Elementary School (Wes Bennett Park) to play.

PA 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

166 We have lived here happily for 16 years. Rezoning will cost us home value, pride in our neighborhood, increase in water supply and water pressure, and increase in traffic. What’s next, a casino?

D. Houser RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

167 My family are in love with this neighborhood because it is a quiet, low-traffic, safe area that we love living in. We STRONGLY request that this area remain Single Family. The quality of the neighborhood will be lowered drastically with this change.

Lucas RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

168 We the people strongly oppose this. All this does is hurt the people that have settled in this area.

Kyle RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

169 RM1&2 zones off of Gehlar can’t accommodate all the vehicles. Parked cars turn already narrow streets into a single lane. Not safe and looks trashy. Don’t want tons of parked cars clogging roads around this area also. Please leave as RA zone.

Justin RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

170 Keep commercial/retail on Wallace Rd. Properties like this should be RS or RM1

E Martin RM1 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

171 I lived at my home for 13 years, and I am the new guy. We knew that homes could be built behind us, but apartments! This would decrease the value of homes in the neighborhood by at least $100,000 each. Please Stop this Madness!

Edward Angle MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

172 Why change to RS, when you want to change RA to MU down the road on Brush College? Makes no sense!!

NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

173 This proposed change would devalue our homes, bring about a huge increase in traffic as well as more opportunity for crime. This is a well established neighborhood which we all chose because it was single family units.

RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

174 Unless these developers are REQUIRED to first improve West Salem’s infrastructure (parks, schools, public transportation, traffic), then they shouldn’t be allowed to develop the land for MORE people.

PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
175 I just went to Eola Ridge Park that is now only about 5 years old, as developed. The east parcel proposed as RM2 is very wooded with large old trees. It is a real jewel! A hiking path meandering through would be an attraction to take it to the next level.

Steve Ingham PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

176 This is not a good location for anything commercial, too far off main streets and in the middle of a neighborhood.

RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

177 Agree with keeping this green! Public trails, a pond, maybe bike trail or safe soot from the school over? Swimming pond or pool?

Marie Davis RA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

178 Swimming pond or pool??

NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

179 Would townhomes so seniors could downsize and remain in the community or townhomes for families to gain home ownership, equity, be involved in community etc be an option? We need less rentals and more dedicated neighbors

Norma Barnes NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

180 Opposed to Residential 4 (R4) proposed zone change on both east & west of Alpine Ave., NW, Salem. Traffic on Glen Creek is extremely busy & makes it very difficult to turn east on Glen Creek from Alpine or north & east on Taybin, hard to get onto Wallace

Norma Barnes NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

181 The ONE bridge that we have cannot handle much more traffic. Please reconsider. The overflow parking will spill into the neighborhoods as well, dropping home values

Norma Barnes NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

182 I strongly disagree with these new proposals aren't these enough housing going up in west Salem already they just built apartments down on Wallace rd

Donald Davis RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

183 Keep the zoning out this would add even more people trying to use the out dated roads we have

NH 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

184 I do not want this to happen I don't need to say any more then that

Chandler estates NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 9 YES

185 The NH location standards state, "Must be located on a collector or minor arterial." Clarmount St. and Margarett St. are both classified as local streets. This proposed zoning does not meet the city's own location standards and thus should not be allowed

Norma Barnes NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

186 I strongly support new multi family residential along orchard heights. It is a logical extension of land use and will help support greater services to west salem

Donald Davis RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

187 I strongly support new mixed use residential along orchard heights. It is a logical extension of land use and will help support greater services to west salem

Donald Davis MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

188 I believe that this should be multifamily residential. There are good services nearby and zoning options should be expanded, not limited to single family residential

Donald Davis RM1 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

189 I strongly support new multi family residential along orchard heights. It is a logical extension of land use and will help support greater services to west salem

Donald Davis RM1 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

190 I strongly support new multi family residential along orchard heights. It is a logical extension of land use and will help support greater services to west salem

Donald Davis RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

191 I strongly support additional mixed used for more business and housing opportunities

Donald Davis MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

192 I strongly support new mixed use residential along orchard heights. It is a logical extension of land use and will help support greater services to west salem

Donald Davis MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

193 I strongly support new multi family residential along orchard heights. It is a logical extension of land use and will help support greater services to west salem

Donald Davis RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

194 I strongly support new multi family residential along orchard heights. It is a logical extension of land use and will help support greater services to west salem

Donald Davis RM1 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

195 I strongly support new multi family residential along orchard heights. It is a logical extension of land use and will help support greater services to west salem

Donald Davis RM1 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

196 I strongly support new multi family residential along orchard heights. It is a logical extension of land use and will help support greater services to west salem

Donald Davis RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

197 Multifamily residential is a great idea in this location, with 2 connection points and right next to a park this is an obvious extension of usages.

Donald Davis RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

198 I support this, we should have more diverse neighbors so that we do not have to travel as far, reducing traffic

Donald Davis MU-I 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

199 I support this, we should have more diverse neighbors so that we do not have to travel as far, reducing traffic

Donald Davis RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

200 I strongly support new multi family residential along orchard heights. It is a logical extension of land use and will help support greater services to west salem

Donald Davis RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

201 I strongly support new multi family residential along orchard heights. It is a logical extension of land use and will help support greater services to west salem

Donald Davis RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>This is a great location for a neighborhood hub as it is already located next to a public/private education zoning.</td>
<td>Donald Davis</td>
<td>NH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>This is a prime location for increasing zoning density as it is nearby schools, parks, and transit. This zoning should go forward to not limit future property owners from doing what they choose.</td>
<td>Donald Davis</td>
<td>RM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>This is a prime location for increasing zoning density as it is nearby schools, parks, and transit. This zoning should go forward to not limit future property owners from doing what they choose.</td>
<td>Donald Davis</td>
<td>RM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>This property to across the street from parks, transit, and education. There is no reason that this should be considered improper zone use.</td>
<td>Donald Davis</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>This property to across the street from parks, transit, and education. There is no reason that this should be considered improper zone use.</td>
<td>Donald Davis</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>Multi-family housing not appropriate at this location. A second bridge was cut, which means increasing population density is not feasible. Will be terrible for water supply, reduce property values, decrease safety, increase traffic.</td>
<td>Mitchell Moore</td>
<td>RM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>There are already significant traffic and on-street parking issues in West Meadows Estates when in-person school is in session at West High. I oppose the change to multi-family zoning.</td>
<td>Mitchell Moore</td>
<td>RM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>We strongly oppose this proposal for multi-family. There is already an issue with street parking when school is in session. This also will increase traffic that already speeds through the neighborhood. This will decrease property values.</td>
<td>John Wack</td>
<td>RM1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>There is already a traffic problem in W Meadows Subdivision. Not a good place to add hundreds more cars. Although we need more housing, it should be in an area where traffic can handle it. Tital Dr NW would be used as a cut through.</td>
<td>Donald Davis</td>
<td>RM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>We built our home due to this area being zoned for single family. Changing this zoning and building apartments essentially right across the street is like someone changing the rules in the middle of a game. Completely unfair and unwanted.</td>
<td>Donald Davis</td>
<td>RM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>Cut down all the trees, increase traffic, upset all the surrounding tax paying citizens (some of the highest taxes in Salem, I might add) . Sounds like a solid plan to me.</td>
<td>Donald Davis</td>
<td>RM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>This location is insane. Strongly opposed.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>97304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
224 How many travelers will be pulled off Hwy 22 to this location, increasing our traffic, increasing the number of strangers to be concerned about for our kids and changing the face of this neighborhood for the worse.

Lori Murphy Cole
RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

225 Currently this area is a natural greenspace with trees and a habitat for neighborhood deer and rabbits. Please leave it as it is currently. Thank you.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

226 We are 100% against this plan! West Salem is already becoming overcrowded for what it can handle with regards to traffic/bridge/resources. We take pride in the fact that we have such beauty in nature out here. We MUST protect what special places we have.

RA 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

227 We bought our home in West Salem due to the single family homes. Adding multifamily residences, AND tearing down our historic trees, is a horrible plan. So sad that money can buy anything, regardless of our opinion and feedback.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

228 We strongly oppose this zoning idea. There is no apparent benefit to the overall neighborhood. It would increase traffic and congestion. It would eliminate a beautiful green space which is popular in the neighborhood. It serves not benefit to West Salem.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

229 Why is the city of Salem trying to turn suburb areas into a crowded city scape? Are they planning to build more schools? More housing crammed anywhere and everywhere does not provide housing for people who can’t afford it.

NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

230 If the city is planning on using Hidden Valley (a family friendly residential street) as an entry to RM2 this is not a safe plan for the neighborhood. Our children play here, Doakes/Hidden valley is a dangerous intersection with current traffic.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

231 I WILL PROPOSE TO KEEP THIS AREA AS A GREEN SPACE SINCE IT PROVIDES REFUGE FOR DEER, TURKEYS, AND OTHER SMALL WILDLIFE.

ROBERT CACAK, 1918 SPICETREE LN
RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

232 This seems like a very strange place for a hub. Busy road, small area and not what this neighborhood needs. I absolutely oppose this.

NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

233 This is a green space here in West Salem. This is not the right place for a business. We can walk down the road to fulfill these needs. I do not want this.

NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

234 WE DO NOT WANT THIS OR NEED THIS

NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

235 This seems unsafe for our children. Who is this going to attract to the area? I oppose this zone change.

NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

236 Please don’t do this, I don’t want it.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

237 Why would we take down this beautiful treed area and replace it with apartments. This makes no sense.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

238 There is plenty of this in West Salem. Leave our area alone. This is a beautiful neighborhood with families that spend time outside. We do not want a business in our backyards.

NH 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

239 We enjoy the peacefulness of the park and the trees. Our family spends time here feeding the wildlife and enjoying the creek. We do not want apartments here. Please save our trees and our environment.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

240 Has money and land been set aside to support the amount of children these new zoning laws will require to build new schools? This is ridiculous.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

241 This is not what we were told when we bought our property. Changing the zones in the area in not the right this to do. We saved and purchased here because we wanted to raise our family in a quiet and safe neighborhood.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

242 This is not a good spot for apartments. We do not have the infrastructure to support this. Let’s build the bridge and prepare schools first.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

243 SALEM, you have not even completed the parks and/or bridges that were intended to be developed. Let’s focus on these projects. We have been waiting for over 15 years for phase 2 of the park to go through and phase 1 of the park up the street to get going.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

244 I strongly oppose this zone change.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

245 I strongly oppose this!

NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

246 This doesn’t go with the goals for Salem. I thought we were trying to improve our environment, grow our tree canopy and become a city to be proud of. Yet many of these suggested places take down decades old treed areas. SAD. Please don’t do this.

RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
247 This is a horrible idea. Please don't change this beautiful land. RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
248 I do not want this. Please do not do this zone change. NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
249 Decreasing the quality of life and property values here is one of the goals of these proposed changes. The current arrangement in this area is not fair to those who cannot afford the single family system that is currently in place. Democatic Socialism in Action RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
250 This is a terrible idea. This is a busy road that is already hard to cross. Cars coming and going. Terrible. We can do better than this. NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
251 Terrible Idea. I do not want this. Save our green space. RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
252 We don't want the city brought to us. We chose this place for a reason. I oppose this move. MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
253 Please do not rezone this area. We don't want this. MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
254 We do not want this. We do not want the traffic. It is already bad. RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
255 We do not want this. Please stop this RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
256 We don't want this. MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
257 This is a beautiful treed area. This is not a place for buildings or events space. PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
258 Save the trees. We need this green area. PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
259 This is beautiful area for school children. The children often talk of the birds, deers and other animals. Don't let our children down. No to change PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
260 We want to have trees here. These trees have been growing here for decades. Leave it alone. PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
261 I oppose this change. PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
262 This is not what the community wants. MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
263 We do not want this change. MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
264 We like our area as is. We enjoy seeing the country. MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
265 This is not a good idea. We already have too much traffic. Our area is not made for this much. RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
266 I oppose this. TRAFFIC is already horrible. Let's focus on this first. RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
267 This is not a good idea. Save our neighborhood. RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
268 I cannot believe the amount of proposed land that is up. Please do not change our beautiful city. We do not need acres of apartments. RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
269 I oppose this. RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
270 I do not want a hub as my neighbor. No THANK YOU NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
271 This is not a good idea NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
272 This is not a good idea. Please do not rezone this area. NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
273 No THANK YOU NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
274 I can walk down the road to the already established minimart. I don't want one in my backyard. NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
275 This would be great if it was just a park. This zoning allows for much more than a park. NO NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
276 This is already a community garden and walking/dog park. Don't ruin that. No Change necessary. PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
277 We don't need anything more. We don't want this zoning change. PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
278 SAVE OUR TREED PARK PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
279 Save our green spaces in West SALEM. We have very few left with all of the building around here. PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
280 We don't need this. We have plenty of places to go. We like seeing the homes of these people. NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
281 We don't want this. NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
282 these are peoples homes. Stop this insanity. We don't want these changes NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
283 This is crazy. No thank you NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
284 Keep retail on Wallace and Edgewater not in our neighborhoods NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
285 This is not what we want. We can walk down the road if we need something. NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
286 Leave retail on Wallace and Edgewater. Not here. NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
287 I don't want this RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
288 I don't want this NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
289 Save the little treed area we have

290 This makes no sense. TRAFFIC. We moved here because of the quiet neighborhood.

291 This zoning opens up our park to a lot of change. We don’t need that.

292 Zone change gives way to many options that we don’t want.

293 I like the park as is. No change needed

294 Save our beautiful park

295 NO CHANGE NEEDED

296 Keep park as is

297 Why would the city want to change this. NO THANK YOU

298 I walk through this quiet peaceful park everyday. I love it. Do not rezone it.

299 After reading what public amusement could be I don’t want this park to change.

300 This is not a necessary change. No rezoning

301 We like the area as is. Quiet, peaceful and full of wildlife

302 Do not rezone this area

303 Do not rezone this area

304 Do not rezone this area

305 unwanted change. NO

306 Unwanted change NO

307 NO Change needed

308 Unwanted change. NO

309 Let’s finish this park before we open it up to all that this zoning code allows for

310 We love this park like it is. We don’t like the public amusement zone. It allows for things we don’t want.

311 We enjoy this peaceful park. Please leave it as is.

312 This park is wonderful. We don’t want the possibility of food trucks or music concerts. Let’s keep that to the bigger city parks

313 Keep this area as is. That is the way we like it.

314 Keep this area as is. It is beautiful.

315 Don’t want this change, makes no sense.

316 Unbelievable. Have you seen the traffic. Have you tried to cross the street. This is a terrible idea

317 Don’t cut down this beautiful forest. The creek and forest is a peaceful place to enjoy.

318 Save our little park. This change doesn’t bring what we want to our park. This is for the larger city parks.

319 We don’t want this in our backyard. This seems dangerous for our children to play outside with more cars coming and going. No to rezoning

320 TRAFFIC is already a problem. SCHOOLS are already full, Now we will need another bond measure. NO CITY of SALEM this is not right. NO to the rezoning of this beautiful property.

321 This is not a good idea. We are already too busy, too fast and we don’t have enough beauty as it is. Why would we tear down a forest to build apartments. CRAZY

322 No to rezoning.

323 This is a bad idea. Seems like a poor location. We can walk 1 mile down and get anything we need.

324 I don’t want this in my backyard. I didn’t buy with this knowledge. I do not want this.

325 This is not the city that I have chose to raise my family in. This is a game changer. Don’t let me down Salem.

326 How does the city plan to handle this or is that not part of the thought process. Seems like these ideas are not thought out.

327 This is crazy. I cannot believe the city would do this to it’s people.

328 No infrastructure to support this.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>YES/NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>329</td>
<td>PLEASE RECONSIDER! Worried about an increase in traffic and an increase in parked cars. There are already issues with street parking when WSHS is in session. We are also worried about a decrease in home value and issues with water supply/pressure.</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>West Salem</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>I'm worried about the increase in road noise and traffic. I'm also worried about the possibility of an increase in crime. West Salem already has many fairly new apartment complexes, including a few just down the street.</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>West Salem</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>I am opposed to changing the zone of our neighborhood. I do not want mixed businesses or multi-unit housing in the area. What makes the area what it is, is having a neighborhood, not the congestion and headache of what re-zoning will offer.</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>West Salem</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>332</td>
<td>The proposed change is not needed here and a poor use of this beautiful land. We made the decision to live here because of the neighborhood -- this proposed change negatively impacts us and is not wanted. Our quiet area needs to stay that way.</td>
<td>West Meadows Resident</td>
<td>West Meadow RM2</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>A thousand times no. Higher traffic, lowering property values, congesting the neighborhood. The few saying yes don't even live here.</td>
<td>West Meadows Resident</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>West Salem</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334</td>
<td>I oppose this area. This will not feel like the neighborhood I invested in.</td>
<td>Lindsey King</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>West Salem</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>335</td>
<td>We are opposed to this. We chose this area because it didn't feel like a big city. Traffic will be a nightmare.</td>
<td>Lindsey King</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>West Salem</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336</td>
<td>We enjoy our small neighborhood park. We would not want our park to change. Our kids love it here.</td>
<td>Lindsey King</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>West Salem</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337</td>
<td>This seems very unfair for our home values. We purchased our home with the current zoning. Now you are trying to change our neighborhood for the worse. Please do not rezone.</td>
<td>Lindsey King</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>West Salem</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>This is not a safe place with traffic. BAD IDEA. At times it is very hard to get across the road. I am against this rezoning.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>339</td>
<td>Would love to have a park here but I am not sure that public amusement actually means a park for children to play at. Would enjoy a park, I am opposed to the other things listed in this zoning.</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>I do not think this will help with traffic. I would like to see business stay on Wallace and edgewater and let residential areas stay.</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341</td>
<td>This area is full of wildlife and nature. Why would Salem let this go.</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>342</td>
<td>This is a beautiful area and the only section of this area left. Let's preserve some of our old West Salem.</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>343</td>
<td>No to rezoning this. This doesn't need to change</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>344</td>
<td>This is going to just cause more trouble for traffic. Have you seen this area during school days.</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345</td>
<td>This is a lot more people. When I purchased my home it was a tiny neighborhood. It has grown at least 200% . This is just ridiculous. My neighborhood has changed too much.</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>We don't need something like this here. This will just cause traffic issue. Needed not, wanted.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347</td>
<td>I am not sure that public amusement will keep this area the beautiful area that it is. Save the community garden and dog park. I oppose this change</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348</td>
<td>I oppose this change</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>349</td>
<td>I oppose this rezoning change.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>I am unhappy about this change. Please do not change the zoning in this area.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351</td>
<td>This is not what I was told this area would look like when I bought. It is not the right thing to do.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>352</td>
<td>I love this area with all of the trees. The mornings here are filled with peace and wildlife.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353</td>
<td>With this change, it will bring a large amount of traffic to our area that already has a lot during peak hours as well as ruin the our values of our homes and neighborhood. Please do not allow this to happen.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>354</td>
<td>I agree with others, this seems like a very bad idea.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355</td>
<td>I think this is a great location for the RM2 zone. This is close to bus lines, public park and walking areas. It is also on a busy road which is perfect for access in and out of the property. This is better suited here than other areas.</td>
<td>Lindsey K.</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>356</td>
<td>Great location for multi-family or higher density housing. It is not good planning for all single family homes. Good extension Lindsey King too of existing MF</td>
<td>Lindsey K.</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>357</td>
<td>No, build your apartments in your yard, destroy your childrens safety, your community and your property values. It's all about the BUCKS. With absolutely no concern for the community.</td>
<td>Lindsey K.</td>
<td>RM1</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Text</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>358</td>
<td>No, this is disgusting that you would even think of building an apartment building in this area, we will unite in an aggressive fight against this. There should not be anymore building in west salem until the traffic disaster is taken care of. NO NO NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359</td>
<td>This school property please change zoning and comp plan map to school zoning.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>Reccomend change be to PE.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>361</td>
<td>This City owned parcel is the old railroad right-of-way designed as a linear park. Please removed current comp plan CB designation or change to POS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>362</td>
<td>Inside the Salem City-limits.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>363</td>
<td>Outside Salem Urban Growth Boundary and Salem city-limits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>364</td>
<td>Proposing any sort of retail in this location is obscene, and strongly opposed. It will adversely affect the neighborhood and do nothing to improve it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>I strongly oppose to the zoning change as me and my family greatly value the park and wooded greenspace.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>366</td>
<td>I support this zoning change as I would like to see more small/local businesses in the West Salem area to decrease the need to drive across the bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>367</td>
<td>I support this zone change as I would like to see more businesses/services available in West Salem to reduce the need to drive across the bridge to downtown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>I support this zoning change with emphasis on retail and dining. I would like to see more businesses and services available in West Salem to reduce the need for West Salem residents to drive across the bridge to downtown salem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>369</td>
<td>I don't think any of us are ignorant to the fact that these zoning changes are driven by MONEY and what would best benefit developers and investors. Especially when it’s &quot;not in their backyard&quot;. Those who live here don't want it!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td>This looks like a great spot to build all those mixed use apartment buildings you seem so desperate to build on the outskirts of West Salem.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>371</td>
<td>Mixed use is an improvement. These are currently zoned commercial but with residential rentals. The parcels are an odd size though - I hope that mixed use can come with some flexibility to use on street parking.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372</td>
<td>Parking for school-related purposes is already insufficient and fills the streets. We don't need more cars in the area for business needs. This is a neighborhood already struggling with traffic issues because of the school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>Please listen to the people who actually live here! Multi-family apartments will have a negative impact on this community. The roads and schools cannot handle such a high rate of growth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>374</td>
<td>You know what would be great here? Some beautiful, tall trees. Oh wait... THEY'RE ALREADY HERE!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>Placing a retail section here would negatively impact the traffic flow on Eola. If vehicles are pulling on and off the street at this location, it will cause a back up of vehicles. The space is too small to have adequate parking for a retail store.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376</td>
<td>Taking out this green space would drastically change the look of the adjacent park, which many residents enjoy. I do not want this forested area to be replaced with multiple family housing. Please do not re-zone.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377</td>
<td>Looks like the developers are fixing on building a bunch of condensed housing, making The City is not equipped to supply water, transportation, schools, and essential services for this grand of an expansion. Would like to see details and timeline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378</td>
<td>Horrible idea to make mixed use, this will most likely include more unwanted apartment buildings with a token office user.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>379</td>
<td>Why not add multi story apartment complexes around Salem rather than make this already under-served area have an even greater population with more vehicles when already lack of parking available? If San Francisco can have high rise apartments so can Salem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>Make these high rise apartments and stop ruining already dense neighborhoods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381</td>
<td>Put high rise apartments where there are bus services and existing services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382</td>
<td>This makes NO sense. Why change FROM RM2 to SFR when so much is being made into higher density</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383</td>
<td>This would be a great place for a high rise apartment building w/sufficient parking</td>
<td>MU-III</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>Great area for mid rise apartments, SFR and Park. Design it so it doesn't diminish property values and offers multiple living options. Think outside the box. Don't make it all RM2</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385</td>
<td>Add mid rise apartments here and reduce the # of RM 4 suggestions in West.</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>386</td>
<td>This will allow apartments up to 65' in height!!! CRAZY! This is a residential neighborhood, this will drastically change the neighborhood.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387</td>
<td>We already approved this as residential single family. No Mixed Use.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>The city needs more housing. This is a great area for that. High density is a mistake.</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97301</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389</td>
<td>I own this piece of property. I have no intention of selling. Ever. I have no intention of letting anyone build here, so why would you waste the time and effort to re-zone this? Leave it as it is.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390</td>
<td>Best use for the neighborhood.</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391</td>
<td>We need more housing. There are enough apartments.</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>Really, Commercial Retail is dying. Why not simply Multi-Use?</td>
<td>Leonard Lodder</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393</td>
<td>We do not need anymore multifamily housing. This will bring down property value. Kids need the greenspace to play.</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394</td>
<td>We do not need a neighborhood Hub. This will encourage homeless into the neighborhood. There is no space for a business here. Why would anyone think that this is a good idea to begin with?</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395</td>
<td>How do the zone changes affect property taxes for single family residences? Being retired I can't afford to pay more in taxes.</td>
<td>Barbara</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>396</td>
<td>RM2 Zoning no longer makes sense in the City. This should be an MU zone modified to allow multiple housing with a reduced ground floor percentage for non residential uses.</td>
<td>Leonard Lodder</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397</td>
<td>I disagree with this zone change, you are creating urban sprawl!</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398</td>
<td>This is unacceptable, this will ruin a residential neighborhood.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>399</td>
<td>NO!</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>The infrastructure cannot support this. This is a residential neighborhood.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401</td>
<td>We do not need the congestion, traffic and crime that comes with multi family. Which is what this will become under mixed use.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402</td>
<td>Really, the City is going to allow 65 foot buildings??</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403</td>
<td>Keep as residential.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404</td>
<td>It seems the City is taking available vacant land and allowing what it feels the City is short on regardless of the sites location. This is a bad idea for mixed use. It will only be drawing a majority of the users from the east.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
<td>This is wrong, this is a residential neighborhood, you are changing the context of this area. Commercial belongs on busy streets not quiet neighborhoods.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406</td>
<td>Address this intersection nightmare before changing zoning.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407</td>
<td>This change will hurt the property values for hundreds of West Salem families. It will increase crime and decrease the water supply. Please think of the families it will harm and not the builders who will prosper.</td>
<td>West Meadows Estates resident</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408</td>
<td>Single family housing is at historic lows. There are multiple offers on everything. We need more single family zoning. Not more apartments!</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409</td>
<td>This property is currently multi-family residential and is being rezoned as single family residential. As the owner of this property my preference is that it remains zoned for multi family and am concerned that rezoning it SF would be detrimental</td>
<td>Josh Orton</td>
<td>RM1</td>
<td>97301</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>Building more multi-family units on the west side with out an additional Bridge first would be irresponsible, we should not even consider re-zoning until a bridge plan is in place and built, then you could responsibly consider additional multi-family units!</td>
<td>Taran Crocker</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411</td>
<td>This neighborhood is already well mixed with single and multi family dwellings and would benefit greatly from reduced density at this point in time.</td>
<td>Susanne Frenz</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97301</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Ward</td>
<td>Vote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>Rezoning/building proposed apartment complex here is a terrible idea. We've waited years for the area to be created as a park, and now the city proposes to take that away from our children. Traffic will substantially increase w/ no improvement in roads.</td>
<td>Matthew Wymer</td>
<td>97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413</td>
<td>While there are already a few multifamily residences here, it would make more sense to keep density low on this block by reducing the zoning to R2.</td>
<td>Susanne Frenz</td>
<td>97301 Northeast Neighbors (NEN)</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>414</td>
<td>There is a Single Family Dwelling on this site currently. I would like to see density in the overall neighborhood reduced by making this a maximum R2 residential zone.</td>
<td>Susanne Frenz</td>
<td>97301 Northeast Neighbors (NEN)</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415</td>
<td>Very bad idea to place a hub here. Our children play here and this would substantially increase traffic, noise, and danger to them. Salem has not proposed any improvement to Eola Dr. to accomodate increased traffic.</td>
<td>Matthew Wymer</td>
<td>97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416</td>
<td>Why is this being proposed? Riverfront Park already is used for music concerts. This creates a great noise &amp; traffic hazard in a residential area. This proposal seems to benefit a few developers at the expense of tax-paying residents.</td>
<td>William Demesthis</td>
<td>97302 Southeast Salem Neighborhood Association (SENSA)</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>417</td>
<td>Please do not take away our beautiful natural area. There are birds and animals that live here that give us a lot of joy. It is what makes our area unique and beautiful. We walk there many times a week and love to see the beauty of it all.</td>
<td>Duane and Karen Aiston</td>
<td>97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>418</td>
<td>If I understand the map correctly, you would change from multi-family to single family zoning. Doing so reduces the value of my property substantially. The area already has multifamily apt's behind the property, this changes my property but not the rema.</td>
<td>Helen Caswell</td>
<td>97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>419</td>
<td>This is precious land, with open spaces, significant oak forest, bird and wildlife habitat, a stream. It should not be destroyed and lose all that. It should be an urban park. We need one in this area.</td>
<td>Ray Nussbaum</td>
<td>97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>Leave zoning as-is. Changing zoning will create more traffic, more noise, and destroy the more natural habitat this park provides.</td>
<td>Jenelle Duhamel</td>
<td>97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>421</td>
<td>I am strongly opposed to re-zoning this area. Natural area provides wonderful habitat for birds and provides beauty and peacefulness for the residence living here. Re-zoning will significantly de-value the area.</td>
<td>Jenelle Duhamel</td>
<td>97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>Keep zoning as-is. Create a native habitat.</td>
<td>Jenelle Duhamel</td>
<td>97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423</td>
<td>Keep zoning as-is.</td>
<td>RS 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424</td>
<td>Keep zoning as-is.</td>
<td>RA 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>I assume leave zoning as-is, but if this area were to be re-zoned, the only other acceptable zoning is RS. All other zoning would deter from the value of this area.</td>
<td>Helen Caswell</td>
<td>97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>PT II. In my son's WS neighborhood, already experiencing problems too many people in too small area. The streets had children playing in them; not anymore, it is not safe. The neighborhood now turning into rentals &amp; declining after 5 yrs time.</td>
<td>Steve Ingham</td>
<td>97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>427</td>
<td>We want to reduce crime in this area not increase it. No more apartments please!</td>
<td>Helen Caswell</td>
<td>97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>This is precious land, with open spaces, significant oak forest, bird and wildlife habitat, a stream. It should not be destroyed and lose all that. It should be an urban park. We need one in this area.</td>
<td>Helen Caswell</td>
<td>97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>429</td>
<td>This is a quiet street. How are you justifying such a large area for change? Why is West Salem the biggest target for all these changes?</td>
<td>Helen Caswell</td>
<td>97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>The Hilficker Farm is a beautiful parcel next to Hilficker Park that should be an expansion of that tiny park. We need the carbon sequester and the habitat for wildlife and the green space for an outdoor experience for Salem families.</td>
<td>Helen Caswell</td>
<td>97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>431</td>
<td>This is a quiet street. How are you justifying such a large area for change? Why is West Salem the biggest target for all these changes?</td>
<td>Ray Nussbaum</td>
<td>NONE West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>432</td>
<td>I support the proposed change. Density is already too high.</td>
<td>Mike Fisher</td>
<td>97301 Northeast Neighbors (NEN)</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>433</td>
<td>If these zoning changes are to help with green house emissions and cars are the biggest factor don't you think putting apartments out this far on brush college away from mass transit would negatively effect your efforts with even more cars driving</td>
<td>NONE West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>434</td>
<td>It is more then a mile to the nearest bus stop how is changing these properties to multi family going to help the green house gas putting even more cars on the roads doesn't make sense to me</td>
<td>NONE West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Ward</td>
<td>Rating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>435</td>
<td>Please make sure adequate parking on site, surrounding neighborhood cannot handle additional cars.</td>
<td>RM2 South Central Association of Neighbors</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td>Already limited parking in neighborhood due to multiple family zoning not providing enough parking for residents for existing units.</td>
<td>RM2 South Central Association of Neighbors</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>437</td>
<td>This does not align with what I want to see for West Salem or Salem at all. I want to see nice homes, families and trees. This doesn't meet my goals.</td>
<td>RM2 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>438</td>
<td>This is not going to help my home value. This is not what I purchased my home to be next door to. I enjoy the trees and the quiet park.</td>
<td>RM2 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>439</td>
<td>When property values decrease, how much will my property taxes decrease. Is there a plan for my taxes to take a significant dip? I am not happy about these proposals.</td>
<td>RM2 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>This seems like a really bad location. I get walking to a fun outdoor bakery or pub but is that what the guarantee is? No, not good planning if you have spent any time in this area.</td>
<td>NH West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>441</td>
<td>After reviewing these changes in zoning I can see that this is not my city any more. I don't like these ideas. I moved to west salem to be able to spend time in quiet neighborhoods. Don't ruin my home town. Ask in a much larger survey what the residents need that much change.</td>
<td>NH West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>442</td>
<td>This is a beautiful spot in West Salem and one of the few untouched places. It has old trees and a creek. Why do we have to develop ever area.</td>
<td>RM2 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>443</td>
<td>The city doesn't even have sidewalks here. How does the city expect to promote/increase pedestrian and bicycle traffic without the basic infrastructure necessary for that?</td>
<td>MU-III South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>444</td>
<td>The city wants to put a neighborhood hub on this street? This street is incredibly unsafe to walk or ride a bicycle along as there are no sidewalks or shoulder. Additionally, there is a steep hill with zero visibility until you've crested the hill.</td>
<td>PE South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445</td>
<td>We don't want or need more traffic being funneled towards Reed Lane. More traffic in the neighborhood is a poor plan.</td>
<td>NONE South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>446</td>
<td>As a major intersection between 2 schools, I can't believe the city is proposing to increase traffic without the basic infrastructure necessary for that.</td>
<td>RS Faye Wright Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>447</td>
<td>There is currently an elementary school here.</td>
<td>NONE South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448</td>
<td>There is a school here.</td>
<td>PE Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>449</td>
<td>Turning these neighborhoods into a commercial area is a terrible idea. Roads are narrow, sidewalks are in terrible shape. This is a NEIGHBORHOOD.</td>
<td>RS West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>This area borders the Oak Savanna environmental restoration project, a 20+ year old project to restore native Willamette Valley prairie and Oregon White Oak habitat. The proposed zone change would limit the potential to provide additional wetland.</td>
<td>Linda Bierly West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>451</td>
<td>There is too great an increase in the number of residents here and a nearby proposed multi family change for the traffic infrastructure - the roads will be congested and miserable. Also much more greenspace is needed for all of these people. Add parks</td>
<td>NONE Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Text</td>
<td>Votes</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>Too much congestion for surrounding roads to handle, completely changes neighborhood quality, greenspace is important for mental health, air quality, animal health and pathways. Please don't cram more people in just for more tax money - quality of life!!</td>
<td>NONE 0</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>The Hilficker Farm is a lovely area that has served as farmland, habitat for wildlife, scenic beauty and as a beautiful border to Hilficker Park. Salem is losing valuable undeveloped land for parks and open space, not open to multifamily housing</td>
<td>RM2 0</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>457</td>
<td>Please save the Meyer Farm as a recreational area, park, or historic site. This land provides habitat for an abundance of wildlife and plant species, and contributes to the health and well being of the community. Please save!</td>
<td>Arlene M PA 97306 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>458</td>
<td>Please save as open space for much needed wildlife habitat.</td>
<td>Arlene PH 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>459</td>
<td>This is a small site with the headwaters of Gold Crest Brook diverted around the property. The property remains low and subject to saturated conditions and occasional shallow flooding. There is limited opportunity for effective development.</td>
<td>Ken Bierly NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460</td>
<td>There is not sufficient infrastructure in W. Salem for this to make any kind of sense. There are already enough apartments ringing this specific neighborhood as it stands.</td>
<td>R4 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>461</td>
<td>I don’t believe this is an appropriate zoning for this area. This will greatly increase traffic and Titan Dr will become even more of a cut through greatly increasing hazards for those in the nearby neighborhoods. Don’t make this change.</td>
<td>RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>462</td>
<td>I oppose the change of this little parcel of land to Neighborhood Hub. It is situated in a wetland area adjacent to an oak savannah restoration. I really feel commercial activity would not be compatible.</td>
<td>Dorald Stoltz NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463</td>
<td>There are several multi-family properties on this street.</td>
<td>NONE 0</td>
<td>Northeast Neighbors (NEN) Ward 1 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>464</td>
<td>As owners, we support this change to MU-III</td>
<td>Harish Patel MU-III 0 Northgate Neighborhood Association Ward 5 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>465</td>
<td>I strongly oppose developing multiple family residential units adjacent to West Meadows Estates. This would decrease water pressure, create traffic congestion and lower property values.</td>
<td>RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this development. This would create traffic congestion, destroy natural vegetation and invite crime to this quiet area. NO!</td>
<td>West Meadows Resident PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467</td>
<td>Strongly Oppose. This would increase traffic congestion, lower property values, decrease water pressure and increase crime. This would ruin natural vegetation.</td>
<td>West Meadows Resident CR 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>468</td>
<td>This area provides sanctuary for the Acorn Woodpecker which is protected under the Federa Migratory Bird Treaty Act. Development would destroy their habitat and endanger the species.</td>
<td>West Salem Resident CR 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>469</td>
<td>STRONGLY OPPOSE- decrease water pressure, decrease home values, increase traffic, increase crime, increase noise pollution, decrease current residents quality of life.</td>
<td>WEST MEADOWS ESTATES RESIDENT RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470</td>
<td>The property is small and if not for the drainage ditch that surrounds it, would be a wetland. It does not seem to lend itself to the Neighborhood Hub zone, a use designed for intense uses, including commercial.</td>
<td>Sally White NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>471</td>
<td>This is a poor lot for retail space. The only access is through the flag lot driveway next door. Adding access to Eola would require a utility pole to be moved or removed. There is limited sight distance due to a hill to the west and a curve to the east.</td>
<td>NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>472</td>
<td>I am the owner of this property and several others. Please, please do not require rear or side parking in this zone. I have been developing property in Salem for over 25 years. People prefer to drive cars. It is NOT SAFE to have rear parking.</td>
<td>Tim Hensley MU-III 97302 East Lancaster Neighborhood Association (ELNA) Ward 6 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>473</td>
<td>I am not in favor of changing the zoning for this property. Not only is it a small isolated wetland area, but it surrounded by rolling hillsides. The value of a neighborhood hub is limited and safety issue can never be addressed with this zone change.</td>
<td>Maureen Foelkl NH 97302 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>474</td>
<td>We oppose any apartments up in our area, as they bring in crime &amp; high traffic. Also, the City loves to take our money but refuses to build a 3rd bridge or invest those dollars improving our infrastructure. We cannot accommodate all the traffic.</td>
<td>RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We absolutely oppose the development of this land with condos, apartments or any type of multi family dwellings. This will create an undue burden on all the members of our community. We purchased in this area to be away from this type of development.

mixed use would bring more congestion to an area that is not ready from an infrastructer, parking etc

No need for Hub at this location short drive to Edgewater and business district . Spen money to improve the Edgewater and 2nd street business district

keep as is cannot support multi family in this location infrastructure is not growing at the expansion of growth in West Salem already. Roads cannot handle traffic and more home goes against reducing carbon footprint. Need to keep trees especially next to

Why has this ENTIRE section of West Salem been targeted for R4 Zoning. This looks like you’re forcing all future tenents into one area. The only people this benefits is developers and builders and landlords. This is NOT fair to existing residents.

Where else on this map is the proposed zoning change change so dense? West Salem is being unfairly targeted whilst other areas are tagged to go FROM multi to SFR.

Adding more homes = more vehicles. This interesion is already a nightmare. NO more homes on these roads.

How are all these extra residents supposed to safely get too and from Salem? the I-5? We already have enough traffic problems. This is insanity

Please do not zone this CR! This is a busy street in the middle of a residential neighborhood with lots of kids. Retail makes no sense here.

There is a car dealerships here and across the street that would be unable to operate if this was rezoned MU-I.

Please do not rezone. Food trucks/carts do not belong in this small neighborhood park. This would increase traffic and crime.

As a 16-year West Salem resident, I adamantly oppose this proposal. My girls went to Chapman, Straub, and West, and my wife currently works at Straub. Traffic is already congested and dangerous, NH would make it worse.

I own a home in this neighborhood and I welcome the zoning changes. I am very happy to see Salem considering adding greater density for housing around the city.

I am in favor of this rezoning and generally adding more businesses on the west side to reduce the need for trips over the bridge.

We oppose a neighborhood hub in this area.

I support this change. West Salem needs a good housing mix.

Excellent location for MU-I. Close to transit routes, school, park and other multi-family and single-family housing as well as proposed CR at Mildred.

Don't think CR is best use here due to terrain and accessibility. Perhaps MU-I or -II.

This might work for a NH zone but the oak tree must be preserved.

This property is a small wetland that many volunteers have worked tirelessly the last twenty years to return it to an Oak Savannah. It is bordered by West Hills Estates and a fire Station. Instead of a NH, how about a nature park or just let it be.

This might work although the steep hill could be a problem. Possible alternative could be newly annexed property at 2527 or 2547 Robins Ln.

This will work!

Mixed use makes no sense. All the traffic would have to come off the nice single family neighborhood surrounded on 3 of the 4 sides. Access off liberty is a cliff. Keep mixed use near the other RM zoning on the West side of Liberty. CO north of Kueble

12th and 13th corridor should get a mixed use zoning designation

Would like to see significant focus/resources put into the development of the riverfront mixed use area
500 Very interested in how this parkland will be enhanced in the future and the opportunities to integrate with future potential development.

Robert Bierma NONE 0 Central Area Neighborhood Development Organization (CAN-DO) Ward 1 YES

501 Enhanced pedestrian/bike crossing is single most need infrastructure investment to achieve thriving west side mixed use district. Would like to consider alternative short term option to current under pass design.

Robert Bierma NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

502 would like to see the island zoned PA.

Robert Bierma NONE 0 Highland Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

503 Agriculture use is what it currently is and should stay that way. We need less traffic out here on a two lane road in an area fed by only 2 bridges.

RA 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

504 Would love to see the front st corridor modeled in part after some of the close in east side of Portland. Policies were put in place to allow housing and office infill will still preserving space for manufacturing and distribution uses.

Robert Bierma NONE 0 Central Area Neighborhood Development Organization (CAN-DO) Ward 1 YES

505 Instead of the proposed to the south on Landau St, this currently vacant land that is zoned RM2 would serve as great NH or MU. As it is, the neighborhood to the east, as well as this neighborhood, have no walkable business access.

NH 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

506 This entire patchwork of CG, CO, RM2 should just be a consistent MU zoning throughout the corridor.

MU-II 0 Southeast Salem Neighborhood Association (SESNA) Ward 2 YES

507 The CO, CR, CG patchwork would be great opportunity for MU to allow additional housing units atop retail and access to this vibrant area.

MU-II 0 Central Area Neighborhood Development Organization (CAN-DO) Ward 1 YES

508 I'd encourage MU II closer to the city and scale down to MU I as the corridor progresses south but overall this is a perfect corridor for MU! Cheers!

MU-II 0 South Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN) Ward 2 YES

509 Love this as more MU. Paint the town purple!

MU-I 0 Central Area Neighborhood Development Organization (CAN-DO) Ward 1 YES

510 Consider a more unique/ creative right of way design for front st.

Robert Bierma NONE 0 Central Area Neighborhood Development Organization (CAN-DO) Ward 1 YES

511 why was this general area not zoned mixed use like the rest of front street?

Robert Bierma NONE 0 Highland Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

512 Our family is strongly opposed to this poor zoning proposal given the location. This adjoins our HOA neighborhood and would significantly devalue homes, decrease quality of life/pride of the neighborhood, increase traffic, increase crime, and further bu

RM2 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

513 Absolutely not in the best interest of the residents of this street. You’re up to something and we will fight this every step of the way.

R4 97036 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

514 Changing the site to NH would be a huge change to the character of the area. The proposed change falls write on a key intersection on the way to Sumpter elementary, affecting kids walking to school and the traffic in the area. I am extremely opposed to i

Claire Diehl RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

515 This is a horrible location for a neighborhood hub as it is located next to a public/private education zone. FIND SOMEWHERE ELSE!!!

NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

516 This is the only little bit of nature area around us, for our kids and dogs. Please do not put more homes in this area, the road is already too busy with speeding drivers. We need to have some natural areas left!!! Please do not ruin a peaceful area.

kellen NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

517 This is a natural habitat, it’s not even good to place homes on this type of land. Do not add apartments please! We need this park and natural area! The placement is terrible for apartments, the road won’t handle more traffic.

Kellen NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

518 do not put a store in here please, terrible location and not safe for our kids or drivers.

kellen NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

519 no changes please

Kellen NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

520 no changes please

Kellen NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

521 we don’t need this deep in the neighborhood, next to the school, no changes please

Kellen NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

522 do not make changes here, keep the neighborhood as is!

Kellen NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

523 Glen Creek is already getting too busy, do not change the zone. We like the neighborhood as is!

Kellen NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

524 There are retail/shops within a mile of Clarmount that are already accessible by foot, bike, bus, or car. I don’t want a hub wrecking my well established neighborhood.

RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

525 Supposed hubs should be shoved into our long-standing neighborhoods. I want my community of neighbors around me and not shops.

RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
526 Please keep this area zoned RS - No changes!

527 Don’t force stores in an area not designed or desired by the majority in this area.

528 If you want hubs plan them into new developments and don’t force them into places we don’t want.

529 With so many home delivery options available and bus lines in the area why mess up our nice community? Unnecessary and unwanted.

530 The city needs to refocus on our transportation infrastructure and revitalizing suffering business zones. Retain RS in this area.

531 Please leave our small community park as is - no changes.

532 There is no need for more apartments in West Salem or assisted living and no need for commercial development at this location. The traffic is already very bad. Do not give in to property owners who want to make this a cash cow by developing!

533 Residents of Plaza St NW use this space currently as a place for neighbors to play horseshoes, grow food plants and play. None of us want this lot to become a business space.

534 The speed in which vehicles fly down Plaza St NW is obscene for a residential area. If this proposed zoning takes place, I would like to formally request speed bumps be placed along our streets. I am deeply concerned already for the safety of our kids.

535 Concerned about allowed height of buildings. This is plaza st nw south-facing sky access, and many of us grow food plants and/or is our livelihood.

536 The City couldn’t, and still can’t manage our sidewalks. They messed that up years ago and then gave us their mess. What make you think this will go better. If they make more complicated and tenuous. I for one don’t trust their ability or intentions.

537 I do not support a hub in a long established neighborhood. Especially with a retail and grocery stores within a mile away.

538 There is already a lot of traffic in this neighborhood on school days. Adding retail would bring additional unwanted traffic to a neighborhood that isn’t designed for high traffic.

539 Leave the zoning as single family residential. Shops, etc should be considered to support new developments as the city spreads rather than shoving them in unnecessary or unsuitable areas such as Clarmount.

540 If hubs are needed/wanted, they should be included in the planning new neighborhood developments and not forced into long established neighborhoods.

541 I own a home in this neighborhood and don’t want to see a bunch of apartments go in.

542 The City needs to improve traffic flow at this intersection. It’s busy and dangerous.

543 This is a small area that provides green space and natural habitat. Any further constructions would be excessive and a detriment to the entire area.

544 This property is already a multi-family dwelling: it’s a new build with a variance. Not sure exactly how rezoning it for mixed use is going to benefit our neighborhood. That corner is already heavily congested with traffic and has a bus stop.

545 I opposed this rezoning. Site is badly congested on school days. Steep hills on all sides make walking, biking and wheelchair access extremely difficult. Hub zone would allow alcohol, tobacco, weapons & lottery sales across from a school.
I oppose this rezoning. Site is badly congested on school days. Steep hills on all sides make walking, biking and wheelchair access extremely difficult. Hub zone would allow alcohol, tobacco, weapons & lottery sales across from a school.

Bill Dixon NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I oppose. Population of the area too small; hub could only survive by increasing vehicle traffic from other areas. Steep hill on Acacia makes non-motorized access tough. Alcohol, tobacco, weapons, lottery sales uncalled for in quiet neighborhood.

Bill Dixon NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I oppose. Population of the area too small; hub could only survive by increasing vehicle traffic from other areas. Steep hill on Acacia makes non-motorized access tough. Alcohol, tobacco, weapons, lottery sales uncalled for in quiet neighborhood.

Bill Dixon NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I oppose. Population of the area too small; hub could only survive by increasing vehicle traffic from other areas. Steep hill on Acacia makes non-motorized access tough. Alcohol, tobacco, weapons, lottery sales uncalled for in quiet neighborhood.

Bill Dixon NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I oppose. Population of the area too small; hub could only survive by increasing vehicle traffic from other areas. Steep hill on Acacia makes non-motorized access tough. Alcohol, tobacco, weapons, lottery sales uncalled for in quiet neighborhood.

Bill Dixon NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

Bill Dixon NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

Bill Dixon NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I really like how it is now

Chris Lee PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

The distinction between MU-I, MU-II and MU-III is not at all clear nor is the distinction that staff are drawing between the area to the north of Owens and south of Owens. The street scape along Commercial S (and Liberty S) is much the same.

Victor Dodier MU-II 97302 South Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN) Ward 2 YES

I agree this should be Public amusement. With proximity to schools, a pool or other public exercise/recreation is what is needed here

Chris Lee PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

This is already one of the highest density neighborhoods in West Salem, with small lots and narrow streets. Why is the burden of R4 zoning being concentrated here?

Chris Lee PA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

Nope

NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

No to the zoning change. Would much prefer the Edgewater district and downtown be expanded/revitalized than allowing random shops to take over already established homes.

RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

I don't think NH added in this area as it would take away from home values and the neighborhood aesthetics.

RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

This is not a good location for retail options and it will further congest the area on what should only be local neighborhood streets.

RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

Did you seriously just try to sneak this by the residents here and think we would be ok with it! Eunice Kim obviously has not care for family values, care for children in an already busy street, or the well being of the community.

RS 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

No more expansions of multi-family housing without upgrading our transportation infrastructure. Go yes to a 3rd bridge!

RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

If you're going to develop more business areas put them somewhere that it makes sense (traffic, access, etc) and not disturb the existing neighborhood.

RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

We moved out of the city to enjoy open space. Bringing strip mall types shops to this area doesn't make sense. Please invest in the Edgewater area. It has so much potential to be a destination place. Area/buildings are run down & roads are bad.

NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

Please finish the park as purposed before re-zoning. We moved to this area counting on the proposed park to be built.

PA 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

We moved to this area for open space. Invest in the Edgewater area that has potential to be a destination spot. Buildings/roads are run down. Take care of what we have before trying to build more. No, thank you to this proposed zoning!!!

MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
572 The area cannot handle an apartment complex. The integrity of the area will be lost by developing that part of Eola.

Jessica Scott  
RM2  
97304  
West Salem Neighborhood Association  
Ward 8  
YES

573 The area cannot handle the increased amount of people proposed. The integrity of Eola and the surrounding neighborhoods will be comprised.

Jessica Scott  
RM2  
97304  
West Salem Neighborhood Association  
Ward 8  
YES

574 This is a terrible idea. This street already has parking and speeding problems, now it will get much worse.

Nathan Higginbotham  
RS  
97306  
South Gateway Neighborhood Association  
Ward 4  
YES

575 This proposal is nuts. We have children living in this neighborhood, playing on the streets. People already race down Mildred. You don't even enforce the zoning you have. There are residences in the RS area with several (ie 3 or 4) families living there.

RS  
97306  
South Gateway Neighborhood Association  
Ward 4  
YES

576 Tell me where clients for this place are going to park? This house has barely enough parking as it is, now people will have to park in the south Cobalt subdivision if they want to visit this place, increasing the chance for a collision with pedestrians.

nathan Higginbotham  
RS  
97306  
South Gateway Neighborhood Association  
Ward 4  
YES

577 Leave as residential. This is directly adjacent to an elementary school where young children regularly walk/ride bikes. Theretofore this has plenty of access to business (by car, bike, walk). This is a designated school zone, the proposed change is wildly inappropriate.

RS  
0  
West Salem Neighborhood Association  
Ward 8  
YES

578 I do not think this zoning change is in the best interests of the property owners on Mildred or adjacent streets as they purchased these properties as RS single family properties and paid prices based on this zoning. This proposed change will lower value.

James A Butte  
R4  
97306  
South Gateway Neighborhood Association  
Ward 4  
YES

579 This is not a wise change and whoever requested it is not aware of the problems this would cause or has a selfish interest in one property at the expense of others.

NONE  
0  
South Gateway Neighborhood Association  
Ward 4  
YES

580 This is a bad decision and the neighborhood will fight this tooth and nail. Shame on you Ms. Eunice Kim.

R4  
97306  
South Gateway Neighborhood Association  
Ward 4  
YES

581 There is no parking on Mildred. Where would you thing all of these proposed customers will park?

R4  
97306  
South Gateway Neighborhood Association  
Ward 4  
YES

582 We do not need this zoning designation and the residents cant figure out what you are trying to accomplish. Why Mildred Lane? This is no place to run a business, this is a quiet residential area!

R4  
97306  
South Gateway Neighborhood Association  
Ward 4  
YES

583 Why have the residents of the surrounding area not been notified of this proposed change. This is sneaky and not representative of the public interest. We are all opposed the this change.

R4  
97306  
South Gateway Neighborhood Association  
Ward 4  
YES

584 NH should have room for parking and safe ingress and egress. This site does not provide either.

NH  
97304  
West Salem Neighborhood Association  
Ward 8  
YES

585 What are you thinking this is a terrible idea and would not be appropriate due to no parking on Mildred Lane? This is no place to run a business, this is a quiet residential area!

R4  
97306  
South Gateway Neighborhood Association  
Ward 4  
YES

586 Keep the current zoning as RS this is the most ill thought zoning change anyone could think of. What is wrong with the planning department or commission/ht

RS  
97306  
South Gateway Neighborhood Association  
Ward 4  
YES

587 Knowing that the development of this part of Salem is inevitable, I would still like to see it developed in a thoughtful and attractive way. A sea of apartments or townhouses as seen by Davis Rd would be an eyesore to all that view this hillsides.

Andy Wilson  
RS  
97302  
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association  
Ward 7  
YES

588 There already is neighborhood commercial one mile away on Edgewater, two miles away on Wallace. Eola has very few pedestrians due to the grade. This is a very nice, residential area. Let's keep it that way.

NONE  
97304  
West Salem Neighborhood Association  
Ward 8  
YES

589 This seemingly arbitrary square selected for multi-family zoning does not appear to be following the pattern of that zoning elsewhere. The space is larger than most other patches, lacks creativity in design, and is not close to commercial retail areas.

Andy Wilson  
RA  
97302  
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association  
Ward 7  
YES

590 The increased traffic from multi-family housing would be very hard on the neighborhoods around this area. And with the high school so close, adding that many vehicles to the area would make more of a mess than there already is at times.

Andy Wilson  
RS  
97302  
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association  
Ward 7  
YES

591 Eola won't handle it. Our local schools won't handle it. We recently had the large apartment complex off Gehlar built. The added traffic has already overtaxed Eola. This is primarily a single family residential neighborhood. We do not want the change.

NONE  
97304  
West Salem Neighborhood Association  
Ward 8  
YES

592 Leave the park space as is.

NONE  
97304  
West Salem Neighborhood Association  
Ward 8  
YES

593 There is no doubt that multi-family housing in this block would decrease property values for the neighboring properties. And while I may have control for now in how my property gets developed, having a neighbor build high density is not what I sign up for.

Andy Wilson  
RA  
97302  
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association  
Ward 7  
YES
Wallace Road, which is two miles away from this location, already provides the resources that a MU-1 offers, including traffic, noise, and pollution. The is the wrong location for this zoning. Bicycle and walking access is not needed. Q Thomas RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

There isn’t adequate parking. Mildred forms a BLIND CURVE that is NOT safe to park along. Young children play in this area. This would devalue properties. The comments show the community disagrees with the proposal. Andrea & James RS 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

This location seems like a bad idea to allow retail, but housing makes sense. RM2 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Rezoning of established residential occupied homes is very disturbing. I do not approve! R4 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

There is plenty of land around the Salem area where buses are available. You need to go back to the drawing board to find other unoccupied land. I don’t approve of this rezoning plan along Mildred Avenue. R4 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Please do not make this area commercial use. This should be single home residential. Sidewalks should be added to this street to make getting to the park better. This street is too busy already and would change this neighborhood too much. CR 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

It appears you are squeezing a few blocks of multiple family residences between two business corridors. PLEASE give careful consideration to business parking. As it is, our customers struggle to find parking. Please do NOT make this worse. RM2 97301 Grant Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

Poor location choice for RM2. Would significantly devalue neighboring homes (which haven’t been notified) and burden demands on water. Not to mention increased traffic and crime in a quiet family neighborhood. RM2 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

People don’t seem to understand that the zone change won’t change anything until they start a business or build something new. And actually, if there were on-street parking along here, it would force people to drive more slowly. Maybe a 20 MPH speed limit NONE 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Why CR rather than MU-I or MU-II? Only good auto access would seem to be Lillian MU-II 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

MU-I is a great idea for this land. Should NOT be CR. No drive-through traffic wanted. MU-I 97306 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

Leave this RA (or similar). Land to the west should never have been zoned CR. This would create even more outside traffic from I-5. RA 97306 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

This, like the other RM1 and RM2 changes is a good step in creating additional housing per acre of land. Contrary to the person who clearly dropped Many pins here, multifamily IS housing. We need more of it and this is a good start. RM2 0 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

This seems like a fine change but some RM zoning might be considered to allow driveway approaches from the more trafficked streets. R4 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Why on earth would you be downzoning close to central city in this area? Especially when right across Mission you’re adding housing? This seems like ideal area to expand housing & businesses serving neighborhood housing. Robert MU-II 0 Southeast Salem Neighborhood Association (SESNA) Ward 2 YES

Why are you downzoning here? Particularly this close to mission, with a bit of infrastructure improvement, this could be ideal pedestrian/neighborhood mixed use area. Robert MU-II 0 Southeast Salem Neighborhood Association (SESNA) Ward 2 YES

This property should be remained as Single Family. The vehicle access onto Stoneway would be very dangerous for an increase in density and intensity: it’s a narrow local street, no sidewalks, existing MF parking that backs directly onto Stoneway. Steep Land Cecilia DeSantis Urbani R4 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

Why is this parcel, the Dr. Harvey J. Clements House (1923) a once-elegant residence and a contributing resource on the Nomination Form for the National Register (#129), being treated differently than ALL the other parcels the Court-Chemeketa Residentia John Poole RS 97301 Northeast Neighbors (NEN) Ward 1 YES

This is not an ideal location for a multi-family residence, it would take away beautiful trees and wildlife, and would add too much traffic to an already busy street. Lisa Harnisch RM2 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

This is a ridiculous place to put a shopping area. There is no safe pedestrian area. There are shops 1/2 mile away Lisa Harnisch NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

I support this as a neighborhood hub. There are already shops here but they have been vacant for years! They need remodeling and new tenants! Joel W R4 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

I am concerned about parking issues. There is no street parking on Mildred Ln. Anyone visiting these businesses would need to park on Cobalt Loop or another side street which already have parking limited to one side of the street. Joel W R4 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Supporter</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Association</th>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>616</td>
<td>Due to concerns regarding noise, parking and decreased house values for the surrounding neighborhood I suggest the zoning remains the same RS and does not change to R4. This is a quiet neighborhood and I would like it to stay that way.</td>
<td>Joel W</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617</td>
<td>Please leave this as it is - a nature park. It’s just fine unimproved and natural.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>618</td>
<td>In reviewing the MU-R zone overview and proposed uses, warehousing/distribution is listed as prohibited. This is directly contradictory to other stated city goals, which include preserving rail-served land/sites for industrial uses. Needs amendment.</td>
<td>Scott Cantonwine</td>
<td>97301</td>
<td>Grant Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>619</td>
<td>Warehousing/distribution should be a permitted use with responsible planning. Need to be able to use rail as much as possible.</td>
<td>Scott Cantonwine</td>
<td>97301</td>
<td>Grant Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td></td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Northeast Neighbors (NEN)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621</td>
<td>I live walking distance from here and support this change. We bike when feasible and there is a good bike lane here. A quick grocery trip now means riding a little over a mile to Lancaster or Fred Meyer, so a small bodega/market here would be cool.</td>
<td>Alex Brown</td>
<td>97301</td>
<td>Lansing Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622</td>
<td>I support this change. The 4-way stop at Lansing-Sunnyview gets fairly jammed up around 5 PM, maybe consider a light or roundabout there instead?</td>
<td>Alex Brown</td>
<td>97301</td>
<td>Lansing Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623</td>
<td>While I want this NIMBY, WS would benefit from traffic going somewhere OTHER than Wallace. This would help reduce congestion rather than add to it. Imagine a 2 minute drive for a burger or haircut instead of 10!</td>
<td>Ian</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>624</td>
<td>RM2 is too high density given the level of services in the area. Lower income families dependent on bussing would be negatively affected by the lack of transportation options.</td>
<td>Ian</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>If changed to R4, the city must make improvements to this entire stretch of Glen Creek. Removal of Glen Creek driveway access to multi family housing would also help.</td>
<td>Ian</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>626</td>
<td>I'm pretty sure the owner of this lot has been trying to subdivide.</td>
<td>Ian</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>627</td>
<td>Getting rid of the abandoned industrial buildings is long overdue.</td>
<td>Ian</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628</td>
<td>The human density of the RM1, RM2, and RS to the north can be expected to result in lots of conflict. Too many people, inadequate parking for their vehicles, and traffic congestion surrounding the area will create poor livability issues.</td>
<td>Ian</td>
<td>97317</td>
<td>East Lancaster Neighborhood Association (ELNA)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>629</td>
<td>We live directly across from the park. Right now, it is a wonderful, natural setting that is well. This shouldn’t be a destination park, nor highly developed. Lots of wildlife here to protect. Let kids use their imaginations &amp; people enjoy nature.</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>Why in the world would you include “Military uses” in the Public Amusement (ie Parks and recreation) zoning?? That is just scary!</td>
<td>Lee Rengert</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631</td>
<td>We do not agree with multi-family housing in this area. The traffic will be horrendous. It will bring down the value of our newly constructed home. And it is right by the proposed public park. The crime is already a concern.</td>
<td>John &amp; Laura Kazlas</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632</td>
<td>Keep the Community Garden! No Zone change!</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>633</td>
<td>Having more multiple family zoning is a positive change and will help lower the cost of housing</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>Please don’t put food carts at this park. Its a nice place to play and walk the dogs. Therers a food cart pod right up the road, let this remain a green space for kids and nature. We dont need more trash and noise here</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>635</td>
<td>traffic is already heavy on orchard heights. Many days it takes 30-40 min. to thru thru traffic to reach the bridge.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>636</td>
<td>We are already very concerned about the crime rate and traffic in our area. Multiple Family Residential will make the situation worse. Why can't we have a bigger park?</td>
<td>Andrey B</td>
<td>97305</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>637</td>
<td>Status as a National Register Residential Historic District is a factor in determining allowable height, but is not displayed on the map.</td>
<td>TXV</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>South Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
People think that just because we have MORE apartments that it will lower the cost. That isn’t how it works. If you want rental costs to go down, you need lower property taxes. Owning apartments is a business, and if the property taxes go up, so does rent. Stop blaming apartment owners, start blaming the city for crazy taxes. LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES ALONE! This is NOT an appropriate place to build more houses. I wholeheartedly support returning the Court-Chemeketa Historical district to Single Family residential in order to preserve the character and charm of these wonderful historic homes. Please do not add additional housing out here. It will become over crowded! LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES ALONE! This is NOT an appropriate place to build more houses. I wholeheartedly support returning the Court-Chemeketa Historical district to Single Family residential in order to preserve the character and charm of these wonderful historic homes. Please no more. This is crowded enough, not enough school space, stop signs are not around.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Postal Code</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>658</td>
<td>Why do we need commercial business across the street from a middle school? This part of Liberty has a crash every month (including one going thru the fence on West side of street in May). The land is steep and has very poor access options for retail.</td>
<td>Dexter</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>659</td>
<td>NO zone change to Public Amusement. Too many options for people to ruin a beautiful thing. Suggest Residential Agriculture to save the natural field for dog (and people) walking and allow the very popular Community Garden which strengthens the community</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660</td>
<td>This area already has multi family housing in addition to all of the newly built homes. Overcrowding is a foreseeable concern. Traffic will become a problem as well potentially costing the city more money to regulate with the addition of traffic signals.</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>97305</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not within Salem Ward</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>661</td>
<td>It would be a detriment to the current home owners to allow this site to become rezoned for multifamily residential. In order to keep our current home values and due to school sizes already at capacity i request this site be single family residential.</td>
<td>Shanna M. B.</td>
<td>97305</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not within Salem Ward</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>662</td>
<td>This needs to be single family area only. The influx of traffic, if this becomes a multifamily site, will be a detriment to our children at play.</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not within Salem Ward</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>663</td>
<td>No multi family housing!</td>
<td>RM1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>664</td>
<td>This is Candalaria Reservoir and is owned by city of Salem. SWAN neighborhood has been invited to prepare a proposal to Salem Parks and Rec in their consideration of an actual park, a much needed asset for Candalaria neighborhood.</td>
<td>Pat Norman, SWAN parks chair</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>665</td>
<td>This area can’t handle more multiple family dwellings. The roads are not sufficient to handle the traffic. We have enough multiple family dwellings in the area.</td>
<td>Daley</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not within Salem Ward</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>666</td>
<td>I am opposed to this zoning change as we already have Safeway and others stores close by and we also do not have sufficient sidewalks in the neighborhood to accommodate anymore foot traffic.</td>
<td>Scott Petterson</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>667</td>
<td>I am opposed to any such Hub as we have Safeway and several other stores and restaurants already within 1/2mile of this area.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>668</td>
<td>Side of road parking is hazardous on some parts of Hidden Valley DR. MF zoned areas will only make it more congested and unsafe.</td>
<td>JS</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>669</td>
<td>There is already an apartment complex being built. Overcrowding in the neighborhood/ schools, traffic, parking and already the issue of increased crime are the main issues of this proposal. They should stay single family homes as proposed initially.</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not within Salem Ward</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>670</td>
<td>Karen way is becoming a traffic hazard. People are using it to cut through to orchards heights with no respect for the numerous children playing outside. All day long on Karen people are driving recklessly. Please consider stop signs or speed bumps.</td>
<td>Jenny</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>671</td>
<td>These rounds and this corner are VERY narrow (corner of Fabry and Woodside). I can see how you could safely add more here. Woodside in particular. There are no sidewalks. And with cars parked on both sides of streets as it is one car can barely fit</td>
<td>MU-III</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>672</td>
<td>Is it unsafe to add more. The roads are not equipped and no sidewalks</td>
<td>MU-III</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>673</td>
<td>Several school bus stops in this area. Unsafe as is. Adding more buildings to this narrow area would be very dangerous</td>
<td>MU-III</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Zip</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>674</td>
<td>This can not handle more multi family dwelling units. They are already building a huge complex on Countryside. These streets are already lined with cars due to small driveways and it's going to get much worse when the apartments are done. Plus traff</td>
<td>Madison</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97305</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>675</td>
<td>This is something that current homeowners should have known about prior to purchase, not after the fact in a sneaky way. Strongly oppose multi family building here, too much traffic and overcrowding. Would decrease our property values &amp; increase crime.</td>
<td>Tami S</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97305</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not within Salem Ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>676</td>
<td>If this is zoned CR why is the present garbage dump allowed that extends to the entire Market St/IS area?</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>North East Salem Community Association</td>
<td>Ward 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>677</td>
<td>Not enough room for apartments</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97305</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not within Salem Ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>678</td>
<td>This zoning allows a number of intensive uses not suitable for the surrounding single family neighborhood. Examples include hospitals, health clinics, shelters, and the like. Those not directly linked to education should not be permitted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This is an odd location for multifamily since it's almost on the urban growth boundary. It would make more sense to put multifamily more central to the urban core and directly on transit routes.</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>679</td>
<td>Please do not do this. Our streets are already crowded with extra cars and there is a giant apartment complex being built in this neighborhood already. Please don't add another.</td>
<td>Kelly</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>97305</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not within Salem Ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680</td>
<td>I would suggest implementing no parking zones on one side of the street in the new development and most importantly near the apartments on Countryside. As a homeowner near these apartments, there are times when I must wait to a side in order to let a car b</td>
<td>Maria</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97305</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>681</td>
<td>Opposed because of traffic coming into the neighborhood, few sidewalks, blind curve on Welcome, and what appears to be no height restrictions on development.</td>
<td>Eve Gray</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Faye Wright Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>682</td>
<td>Mixed use abutting a neighborhood with no height restrictions is a concern. Also a concern is the creek that flows through the area.</td>
<td>Eve Gray</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Faye Wright Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>683</td>
<td>Concerns with traffic flow into the neighborhood, no height restriction on development,</td>
<td>Eve Gray</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Faye Wright Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>684</td>
<td>Connect the park with the proposed park on D St and the Disc Golf Course by the hospital museum. This will create a large park in the NE side of Salem and create public park access from Geer, to the disc golf to the D St and possibly to Englewood Trail.</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>North East Neighbors (NEN)</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>685</td>
<td>Northeast needs more parks with better bike access. Its dangerous to bike on D St to access this area. The sidewalks are also narrow. It would be great to have a walking path that would connect this park to the disc golf and tennis courts by the hospital.</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>State Hospital and Penitentiary (Not a neighborhood)</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>686</td>
<td>These are already single family homes. There is not enough room for multifamily traffic, or parking.</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Northeast Neighbors (NEN)</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>687</td>
<td>Yes! This will be a great neighborhood hub. Please limit the number of pot shop! There are already 2 here and another at Center and 24th.</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Northeast Neighbors (NEN)</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>688</td>
<td>Don't ruin what little green space we have. If you want multiple family units so bad please put them next to your (city planners) houses.</td>
<td>Kortni Bettiol</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>97305</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not within Salem Ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>689</td>
<td>I would like to know how many apartment complexes are proposed directly next to any of the city councilors houses? I am betting none. People buy houses in specific neighborhoods for a reason.</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Ward</td>
<td>Vote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>693</td>
<td>Love the concept, although riding a bike in that hilly area is going to take some strength.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>694</td>
<td>The prospect of multi-family dwellings in this location is absurd. Not only would it ruin housing values in the surrounding neighborhoods, but there are already plenty of apartment complexes within a half a mile of this location.</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>695</td>
<td>This is an area with wildlife and flora that should be protected, not destroyed as houses are built needlessly. We have plenty housing in progress already in surrounding areas without having to destroy more natural habitat.</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>696</td>
<td>This is a beautiful property and the owners are fishing up the house and barn. We need to preserve open spaces near Salem. I also don't think this is a good spot for apartments.</td>
<td>andrew hallman</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>697</td>
<td>Isn't this Hammond Elementary School?</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>97305</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>698</td>
<td>Having two apartment complexes so close together is going to cause even more congestion in our neighborhood. This area is too small for two apartment complexes so close in proximity. I would suggest building them further apart.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not within Salem Ward</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>699</td>
<td>This area should be added to the park area it is next to. That way more amenities could be included in the park.</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>Instead of cutting down all the trees (which Salem needs more of, not less) add this area to the park so that all the neighbors can enjoy it.</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>701</td>
<td>What could be built in this small plot? A drive thru coffee stand? Nobody is going to 'shop' there, there'd be no parking and you'd have to turn right to get in and right to get out. No way anybody could turn left from it safely. Cars are speeding on Eol</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>702</td>
<td>This neighborhood does not need high density residential. The apartments that are here are blighted slum lord owned. Are you guys trying to turn my neighborhood into a ghetto?</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Northeast Neighbors (NEN)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>703</td>
<td>The change to mixed use is appropriate at this location. We need more walkable resources in this neighborhood.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>South Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>704</td>
<td>Mixed use is appropriate for this area, we need more walkable, non office businesses here.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>South Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>705</td>
<td>Mixed use is perfect for this area.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>South Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>706</td>
<td>Absolutely support this change.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>South Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>707</td>
<td>We need pedestrian corridor through the cemetery. Currently bikes and pedestrians are kicked out to commercial here and it's very dangerous.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>708</td>
<td>It would be wonderful to create a pedestrian corridor here, to get bikes and walkers off commercial and liberty which are dangerous.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not within a Salem Neighborhood</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>709</td>
<td>Confused at Location...</td>
<td>Eric Phillips</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>Faye Wright Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>710</td>
<td>Putting a hub at an already extremely busy intersection does not reduce use to increase pedestrian traffic/decrease vehicle use for local residents like proposed. If markets and businesses are built over existing homes then traffic will increase</td>
<td>Eric Phillips</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>Faye Wright Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711</td>
<td>Hubs are a great idea, but need to be located away from main traffic arterial paths for neighborhoods. If the goal is pedestrian traffic and reduce vehicle use then move it deeper into neighborhood for more pedestrian use. Keep people from random driving</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Faye Wright Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>712</td>
<td>Confused at Location...</td>
<td>Eric Phillips</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>Faye Wright Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>713</td>
<td>I see very little mention of maintaining an urban tree canopy. I do love turning this into a multi-use area.</td>
<td>Dan Tucker</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Central Area Neighborhood Development Organization (CAN-DO)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>714</td>
<td>A multi-family (apartments or townhouses) would have an negative impact on the area. From traffic, noise levels, and livability. This area is also the home to wildlife; deer, raccoons, and birds will be displaced. Where is the wildlife corridor zoning?</td>
<td>Dan Tucker</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>715</td>
<td>I have concerns on increased traffic that would be created by apartments in this area. It is already becoming a dangerous area for students that need to cross.</td>
<td>Dan Tucker</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Ward</td>
<td>Vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>716</td>
<td>Currently used as office. Might as well zone as mixed use for better future flexibility.</td>
<td>Robert Bierma</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>717</td>
<td>Think this area should be mixed use for greater flexibility and would match the existing character</td>
<td>Robert Bierma</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>718</td>
<td>would like to see this rezoned pa</td>
<td>Robert Bierma</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>719</td>
<td>Good location for very long range future pedestrian bridge.</td>
<td>Robert Bierma</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>Pedestrian pathway for walkers and bikers would be so wonderful!</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>721</td>
<td>Love the plan for more pathways to parks!</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>722</td>
<td>Would love for some version of a green belt condition to be required/incentivized allow the outer limits of the urban growth boundary.</td>
<td>Robert Bierma</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>723</td>
<td>NO MORE APARTMENTS IN WEST SALEM!!! Until there is a better way to control traffic over our over crowded bridges!! FixYF infrastructure before loading more multi family dwellings City planners very short sighted and money hungry.</td>
<td>MU-II</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>724</td>
<td>good location for RM 2 next to a school &amp; park</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>725</td>
<td>highest &amp; best use would be RM2</td>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>726</td>
<td>For 15 years in this neighborhood I have looked at these beautiful trees and longed to visit. What a wonderful park in an area lacking parks</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>727</td>
<td>No to business here. IfCÓs pointless. Less than 1 mile from Wallace business. Not desirable and would increase traffic and noise which is poorly enforced already</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>728</td>
<td>All these west salem hub areas are just wrong for the neighborhood. We have edgewater and Wallace within a mile. ThatCÓs close enough without aPutting convenience stores on every block.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>729</td>
<td>We donCÔt need convenience stores on every block. This neighborhood is residential. Businesses belong on edgewater and Wallace. ThatCÔs close enough.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730</td>
<td>Why is there EFU zoning in Salem? And, how can have annexed land outside of an Urban Growth Boundary</td>
<td>Mark Bernard</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>731</td>
<td>Why aren't you annexing lands to the south of this location. Urban sensitivities will never be achieved by allowing Marion County to control land use within the Salem UGB.</td>
<td>Mark Bernard</td>
<td>Ward 6</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>732</td>
<td>The intersection here is already failing mid-day. How can more traffic generation be supported on a road network that has no foreseeable possibility of acquiring additional right-of-way for capacity improvements?</td>
<td>Mark Bernard</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>733</td>
<td>No thank you! We moved here for a country feel and not to sit in traffic waiting to get over the bridge. Our streets and schools cannot support this additional population</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>734</td>
<td>Locating a Neighborhood Hub across from an elementary school is inappropriate. Hansen Ave. already experiences traffic congestion during school days. A NH with more turning movements would increase traffic hazards for students and families in the area.</td>
<td>Ted Burney</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735</td>
<td>This is current green space occupied by a city reservoir. Placing a RS designation in an area that is under consideration for a pocket park is poor planning and limits needed city access to the reservoir.</td>
<td>Ted Burney - SWAN land use Chair</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>736</td>
<td>Designating this corner for small scale retail is inappropriate for the character of the neighborhood. It will increase traffic hazards with increased turning movements and poor line of sight on Acacia. Other sites can better serve developing residential</td>
<td>Ted Burney - SWAN land Use Chair</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>737</td>
<td>This area is home to Westminster Presbyterian Church. It is in a unique treed setting. As the area around it fills with retail and RM housing it should be preserved as open area or green space.</td>
<td>Ted Burney</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>738</td>
<td>Sidewalks should be mandatory for all NH locations. Streets should be wide enough to accommodate additional traffic. Kurth St is extremely narrow with no sidewalks.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Ward</td>
<td>Vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>739</td>
<td>The definition of NH Neighborhood Hub says: “Standards would promote pedestrian oriented development”. Yet this proposed location has no sidewalks and very narrow streets.</td>
<td>NH 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740</td>
<td>Multi-family housing should be located on major roads with transit service, close to grocery and shopping, not in the middle of single family neighborhoods.</td>
<td>RM2 0 Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>741</td>
<td>We were drawn to this quiet neighborhood by the current RA zoning designation which has allowed an expansive range of wildlife to flourish. The increased traffic, noise, and disturbance of RM2 would be detrimental to the wildlife and existing community.</td>
<td>Katie Shukla RA 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>742</td>
<td>We were drawn to this quiet neighborhood by the current RA zoning designation which has allowed an expansive range of wildlife to flourish. The increased traffic, noise, and disturbance of RM2 would be detrimental to the wildlife and existing community.</td>
<td>Katie Shukla RA 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>743</td>
<td>We were drawn to this quiet neighborhood by the current RA zoning designation which has allowed an expansive range of wildlife to flourish. The increased traffic, noise, and disturbance of RM2 would be detrimental to the wildlife and existing community.</td>
<td>Katie Shukla RA 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>744</td>
<td>We were drawn to this quiet neighborhood by the current RA zoning designation which has allowed an expansive range of wildlife to flourish. The increased traffic, noise, and disturbance of RM2 would be detrimental to the wildlife and existing community.</td>
<td>Katie Shukla RA 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>745</td>
<td>There is no parking on 17th street. People who want to go into a small business on this street will have nowhere to park. There is also no safe pedestrian crossings in the long block between Nebraska Av and Kay St.</td>
<td>RS 0 Northeast Neighbors (NEN)</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746</td>
<td>Please do not build in this beautiful nature. Not only does it have ancient oak trees but it holds the beauty of our land. As a homeowner on mule deer st this would put the building right in our backyard. It would take away our peace and privacy.</td>
<td>RS 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747</td>
<td>Please do not build here.</td>
<td>WSCB 0 West Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN)</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>748</td>
<td>If this is already zoned Public Amusement, why is it marked as being a proposed change?</td>
<td>PA 0 South Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>749</td>
<td>Put the lower-density MR 1 here. Also, why did you have this marked as a change when you are proposing RS and it’s already RS?</td>
<td>RM1 0 South Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN)</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>Put the lower-density MR 1 here. Also, why did you have this marked as a change when you are proposing RS and it’s already RS?</td>
<td>RM1 0 South Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN)</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>751</td>
<td>RM1 is lower density than RM 2. Put the lower density RM 1 up against the neighborhood...row houses, duplexes, triplexes, quads, etc.</td>
<td>RM1 0 Northgate Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Not within Salem</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>752</td>
<td>This large parcel should be changed to the slightly lower density RM1 instead of RM2</td>
<td>RM1 0 Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>753</td>
<td>Instead of proposing this change from FMU to FMU, how about putting in a park?</td>
<td>PA 0 Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>754</td>
<td>Also agree that it would be great to get a pathway on the other side of the rail track, along the creek. Also potential location for long range bridge addition to Minto Brown</td>
<td>Robert Bierma NONE 0 Not within a Salem Neighborhood</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>755</td>
<td>This parcel should be zoned PA and protected for future public uses</td>
<td>Robert Bierma NONE 0 Central Area Neighborhood Development Organization (CAN‐DO)</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>756</td>
<td>Is there a reason to leave this IG? If not then should be CB for continuity</td>
<td>Robert Bierma NONE 0 Central Area Neighborhood Development Organization (CAN‐DO)</td>
<td>Ward 1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>757</td>
<td>I also strongly agree with the need for a bike/ped path through the cemetery!</td>
<td>Robert Bierma NONE 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>758</td>
<td>Wondering if and why office use in the current draft isn't permitted in NH? Given RS's ability to have home offices, small offices seem compatible with NH overlay</td>
<td>Robert Bierma NONE 0 Faye Wright Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>759</td>
<td>I am guessing 12th and 13th corridor was not rezoned due to level of transit service. I think it is a mistake to not rezone MU, as I see this as actually being one of the best commercial corridors in the city for mixed use infill development.</td>
<td>Robert Bierma NONE 0 Southeast Salem Neighborhood Association (SESNA)</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
760 Are we sure we should keep this gridded section zoned IG. street design and plat don't make very much sense for IG maybe better to change to a MU zone? Robert Bierma NONE 0 Southeast Salem Neighborhood Association (SENSA) Ward 2 YES

761 This area does not need additional development or congestion. The traffic increase would not be well supported and would ruin the pleasant atmosphere and livability of the area. This community is already negatively impacted by increased issues from Costco Rose Herrera RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

762 Trees are a natural, essential regulator of carbon dioxide. They are essential to removing carbon dioxide from the air. We should preserve this green space on Commercial, not pave over it. Keeping the trees and greenspace preserve our fragile ecosyteme. D.J. Lederer NONE 97302 Faye Wright Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

763 Trees are a natural, essential regulator of carbon dioxide. They are essential to removing carbon dioxide from the air. We should preserve this green space on Commercial, not pave over it. Keeping the trees and greenspace preserve our fragile ecosyteme. D.J. Lederer 97302 Faye Wright Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

764 Trees are a natural, essential regulator of carbon dioxide. They are essential to removing carbon dioxide from the air. We should preserve this green space on Commercial, not pave over it. Keeping the trees and greenspace preserve our fragile ecosyteme. D.J. Lederer NONE 97302 Faye Wright Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

765 I do not support neighborhood hubs. Kurth Street and Cunningham are way too narrow for greater development. MU-I 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

766 This is a very steep hill on a blind corner of Liberty. One that is already dangerous and during school hours clogged with kids and cars. This land needs to remain single family and could not support more traffic or residents. RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

767 The world class garden soil would allow single families to grow food, trees and plants giving green back to an already congested area. Single family residential is a better fit with surrounding well established neighborhood. RS 0 Not within a Salem Neighborhood (within City of Keizer) Not within Salem Ward (within City of Keizer) NO

768 Put in a rapid beacon cross walk. Fast moving cars make it hard for students to safely cross Glen Creek in order to get to Walker Middle school. PH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

769 Any new Salem neighborhood needs to be 100% Green with Net Zero Energy buildings. Nothing less would be acceptable. I would be delighted to see my backyard transform into a modern, friendly, Green community of $500k+ townhouses. RM2 0 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

770 I strongly oppose rezoning this area. All of the residents who live in the area oppose it. The only comments in favor are from developers who do not live in this area. This is rural land and should stay that way. MU-I 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

771 Rezoning this area is bait and switch for those who purchased adjoining properties under existing zoning. We beg our elected representatives to oppose this! MU-I 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

772 There is insufficient infrastructure to support MR2 at this location. And putting apartments and mixed use just West of here does not mitigate the problem. There is not water, street size, nor parking for this many residents in this area. RM2 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

773 Mixed use is not desirable nor desired by the neighbors at this location. It will add to traffic congestion. Focus your efforts on a bridge bypassing West Salem so we can have our neighborhoods back! RM2 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

774 This is a money grab for the landowner and the city! All of these new residents will need to cross an already too small bridge to get to work. STOP RUINING OUR NEIGHBORHOODS FOR PROFIT!!! RM2 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

775 We oppose this change in our neighborhood. Stop pretending like businesses built here would decrease bridge traffic. It won't!! MU-II 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

776 This area is incredibly congested, especially on school days. Do not increase the traffic onto this street with R4 zoning! Do not consider comments from Donald Davis, he doesn't live here! R4 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES
779 Ridiculous. The streets are already too narrow, there is no parking at the school. This will worsen an already challenged neighborhood. Design your NH in new neighborhoods if you must.

780 This would be an AMAZING spot for a park. There are some great trees already on/nearby. Biking/skate park for our youth would be great as the one downtown is not accessible to kids. Mixed Use, unfortunately, is not desired by those who live in this area.

781 The topography of this parcel is ideal for tennis/pickleball courts surrounded by walking/biking trails. People of all economic/racial backgrounds enjoy parks! Please, don't ruin this by making it MU.

782 If you must put more MU in West Salem, consider areas like this between streets and schools instead of farmland or established neighborhoods.

783 This area is ripe for MU development! Please do not disrupt our rural neighborhoods with commercial spaces. We don't want them! But if you develop this land, you are obligated to fix the traffic and parking debacle rather than worsen it!

784 The proposed R4 zone's mixed use ability would create wonderful opportunity for NEN - especially along 17th st.

785 I strongly support the shift to RS along 15th st.

786 I would like to develop the property at 2895 Fisher RD and build some multi family units. I have power water and sewer there. It makes sense with the properties around the area being zoned RM2.

787 Multi-family residential should be near services like grocery stores and bus lines. Neither of which is anywhere near this. So all this adds is more traffic on Doaks Ferry, Eola & Glen Creek.

788 This land should be added to the park. Multi-family doesn't below here. There are no services like stores and the bus line is limited. So all you end up with is more traffic on Eola which already has enough speeders.

789 This proposed zone will create a multi use area island in the middle of single family homes that extend for at least a mile in any direction. The proposal would only be appropriate if it was a transition to a higher density residential or other multi use.

790 I do not believe this is the appropriate use of a zoning change. The slope of this property is too steep. Iâ€™d going to hurt the value of the homes already there. Your intermixing multi family use for single home which does not make sense.

791 It does not make sense to jump over liberty to the opposite side and create more more multi family housing in the middle of single-family residential housing. This zoning hurts future sales and purchases for the families that are already there.

792 Instead of destroying existing neighborhoods, clean up downtown Salem to bring retailers back to existing vacant buildings. Downtown is becoming a ghost town.

793 Put Hubs in areas that have open land to use instead of wrecking current housing.

794 If I wanted to live close to retail stores, I'd move closer to retail stores. This safe, quiet neighborhood will be ruined if a large retail development is dropped in the middle of it. Not to mention the negative impact it will have on home values.

795 Make downtown livable and inviting for retailers. Leave the suburbs alone.

796 Please take the safety of our school children into consideration. Placing this development across from a grade school is poor city planning.

797 Don't force a hub into a location it doesn't fit. There is no need w/ retail already close by, no available land and the location is too far off main roads.

798 This location is already close to retail (walk, bike, bus, car). Plan hubs into new neighborhoods that are further out and on main roads.

799 A hub in the middle of this established neighborhood will invite in unwanted traffic and crime.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 804</th>
<th>This needs to be public amusement, with so many new houses and apartments children and residents need more parks as well. It would be amazing to have a beautiful place to celebrate the beautiful outdoors as we all know is a staple to the Pacific northwest.</th>
<th>Shannan</th>
<th>PA</th>
<th>97305</th>
<th>Northgate Neighborhood Association</th>
<th>Not within Salem Ward</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Page 805</td>
<td>Not accessible from arterial. On a steep hill.</td>
<td>Susan Graves/Lloyd Chapman</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 806</td>
<td>Not accessible from arterial. On a steep hill.</td>
<td>Susan Graves/Lloyd Chapman</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 807</td>
<td>Not accessible from arterial. On a steep hill.</td>
<td>Susan Graves/Lloyd Chapman</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 808</td>
<td>Not accessible from arterial. On a steep hill.</td>
<td>Susan Graves/Lloyd Chapman</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 809</td>
<td>Not accessible from arterial. On a steep hill.</td>
<td>Susan Graves/Lloyd Chapman</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 810</td>
<td>Not accessible from arterial. On a steep hill.</td>
<td>Susan Graves/Lloyd Chapman</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 811</td>
<td>Hillendale address. No driveway to 12th.</td>
<td>Susan Graves/Lloyd Chapman</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 812</td>
<td>Not accessible from arterial. On a steep hill.</td>
<td>Susan Graves/Lloyd Chapman</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 813</td>
<td>Not accessible from arterial. On a steep hill.</td>
<td>Susan Graves/Lloyd Chapman</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 814</td>
<td>Not accessible from arterial. On a steep hill.</td>
<td>Susan Graves/Lloyd Chapman</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 815</td>
<td>Morningside address, steep hill, no access to 12th Street.</td>
<td>Susan Graves/Lloyd Chapman</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 816</td>
<td>Morningside Address and no access to 12th Street.</td>
<td>Susan Graves/Lloyd Chapman</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 817</td>
<td>This is going to provide a welcome alternative that will allow for a mix of higher density housing units that are located in close proximity to schools. This will be a perfect location for young families, raising children.</td>
<td>Amanda Afshar</td>
<td>MU-II</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 818</td>
<td>Great place for multi family. Close to schools.</td>
<td>Amanda Afshar</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 819</td>
<td>Terrible location for any business use!</td>
<td>Amanda Afshar</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 820</td>
<td>Great place for a Park!</td>
<td>Amanda Afshar</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 821</td>
<td>good change</td>
<td>Amanda Afshar</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 822</td>
<td>This is an inappropriate location for mixed use zoning. This would disrupt the character of the neighborhood, and the traffic on Lone Oak and Holder both already present dangers to children walking to Sumpter for school.</td>
<td>Amanda Afshar</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 823</td>
<td>This is a quiet neighborhood and it is an inappropriate location for any sort of commercial development.</td>
<td>Amanda Afshar</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 824</td>
<td>I have lived here for over 15 years. My children grew up attending Sumpter and the feeder Jr. High and HS. I also worked as a crossing guard for Sumpter. Zoning this for anything but residential would not benefit the neighborhood.</td>
<td>Eva</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 825</td>
<td>Additionally, rezoning for MU-I is not safe for our children who oftentimes walk back and forth to neighboring schools.</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 826</td>
<td>Should be Single Family Residential (RS) MultiUse creates a safety issue for children, walking to school &amp; pedestrian safety in general. Locating it deep in this neighborhood serviced by small local roads w/ &amp; no bus? Do not consider this proposal.</td>
<td>Jeff Archibald</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Zone Single Family Residential (RS). MultiUse creates safety issue for children going to school & the many pedestrians. Do not consider this MU change. Poor access w/ this neighborhood's small local roads & no bus? MU zone near commerce, access to main

Jeff Archibald RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Zone Single Family Residential (RS). MultiUse creates safety issue for children going to school & the many pedestrians. Do not consider this MU change. Poor access w/ this neighborhood's small local roads & no bus? MU zone near commerce, access to main

Jeff Archibald RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Zone Single Family Residential (RS). MultiUse creates safety issue for children going to school & the many pedestrians. Do not consider this MU change. Poor access w/ this neighborhood's small local roads & no bus? MU zone near commerce, access to main

Jeff Archibald RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Zone Single Family Residential (RS). MultiUse creates safety issue for children going to school & the many pedestrians. Do not consider this MU change. Poor access w/ this neighborhood's small local roads & no bus? MU zone near commerce, access to main

Jeff Archibald RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Zone Single Family Residential (RS). MultiUse creates safety issue for children going to school & the many pedestrians. Do not consider this MU change. Poor access w/ this neighborhood's small local roads & no bus? MU zone near commerce, access to main

Jeff Archibald RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Our family opposes the rezoning for MU. Already the animals are threatened, but the street is busy with cars racing up and down. Please preserve our beautifully diverse neighborhood.

RS 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

We moved into this safe, quiet neighborhood with easy access to schools. RS is what we want to see more of, not MU.

RS 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

They've already removed Cheriotts that used to come down this road, Holder Ln. This needs to remain as RS so we can continue to build community with the people. We don't need MU.

RS 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES
847 Bad idea. Traffic backed up currently/bridge overloaded. Fix those problems before adding more heavy traffic. MU-I 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association

848 This area should NOT be multi use. Kids, schools, limited roads and safety are all factors. The surrounding area and those concerns should ensure that it is for single family homes only. Kevin RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

849 Zoning this area for Multi-Use raises concern for the safety of pedestrians on Lone Oak, specifically children walking to Sumpter Elementary. Multi-Use zoning will increase traffic up and down this hill which has spots of visibility blocked. Not safe! JEFF MILLER RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

850 Zoning this area for Multi-Use raises concern for the safety of pedestrians on Lone Oak, specifically children walking to Sumpter Elementary. Multi-Use zoning will increase traffic up and down this hill which has spots of visibility blocked. Not safe! JEFF MILLER RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

851 Zoning this area for Multi-Use raises concern for the safety of pedestrians on Lone Oak, specifically children walking to Sumpter Elementary. Multi-Use zoning will increase traffic up and down this hill which has spots of visibility blocked. Not safe! JEFF MILLER RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

852 Zoning this area for Multi-Use raises concern for the safety of pedestrians on Lone Oak, specifically children walking to Sumpter Elementary. Multi-Use zoning will increase traffic up and down this hill which has spots of visibility blocked. Not safe! JEFF MILLER RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

853 Zoning this area for Multi-Use raises concern for the safety of pedestrians on Lone Oak, specifically children walking to Sumpter Elementary. Multi-Use zoning will increase traffic up and down this hill which has spots of visibility blocked. Not safe! JEFF MILLER RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

854 Zoning this area for Multi-Use raises concern for the safety of pedestrians on Lone Oak, specifically children walking to Sumpter Elementary. Multi-Use zoning will increase traffic up and down this hill which has spots of visibility blocked. Not safe! JEFF MILLER RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

855 Zoning this area for Multi-Use raises concern for the safety of pedestrians on Lone Oak, specifically children walking to Sumpter Elementary. Multi-Use zoning will increase traffic up and down this hill which has spots of visibility blocked. Not safe! JEFF MILLER RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

856 This could work as MU-I but only in conjunction with nearby high-frequency transit. There is already a huge surplus of RS in the area resulting in driver-only car trips to anywhere. MU-I 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

857 Why isn't this proposed as MU-III? Either one should require considerable improvement to Lone Oak to provide for multimode access and safety. Mike Hughes MU-III 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

858 Why, when in a majority of your own polls, citizens saying they want trails and parks - you do not have "parks" as a zoning option. This is preserved land and carbon storage. The LAST thing it should be is multi-family! Follow the citizens, make it a par Helen PA 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

859 Keep this as a green space and if anything turn it into a public access park area. There is already enough vacant and available retail space/mixed use space on Commercial. Develop that first. NONE 97302 Faye Wright Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

860 This land, with all its carbon storage and with the need for an urban park in the area - should never be zoned for housing. Once gone, this green land is gone forever, and the City will never be able to reclaim it for public use. A great shame. Helen PA 0 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

861 There is no need for more apartments in West Salem or assisted living and no need for commercial development at this location. I live in this existing neighborhood. The Bonaventure group wants to develop this into a congested, over consumed development. Lauren Dalke MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

862 No no no. Wrong area for this. What yahoo thinks this is ok?? Eagles view resident. RH 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

863 This property is a gem for the Salem community and must be protected for all. The long terms effects on the neighbourhood to developing this into multi family housing would be devasting for the community. The wildlife and beauty must be protected. PA 0 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES
I vehemently oppose this area being rezoned as Mixed Use. Adding businesses would increase traffic and reduce safety, especially for children walking to school. This is also not an accessible area for multi-family housing.

Can you provide the change in circumstance or prove RS was a mistake which now requires this area to be deemed MU? This rezoning would be greatly inconsistent with surrounding area. Is this the result of a rejected variance request?

This existing reservoir space is best used as a park. The SWAN neighborhood association is already in the process of planning for improving this park space.

I am not interested in having the noise and all-day (and late evening) activity of businesses in the middle of my neighborhood and by my children's school/playground.

multi family use would add 100's of cars to hidden valley. Multi-family should be closer to businesses on edgewater and wallace

The park doesn't have enough parking spaces for its current use. Adding food carts would only increase the problem.

This area already cannot handle parking needs for current residents. We also need a speed zone here to control traffic speed for non residents speeding through on Mildred.

This is an important greenspace and park for the Candalaria neighborhood. This is a space that can be used throughout the day rather than the nearby school park which has limited times and hours when school is in session.

while a neighborhood hub is an interesting idea, I have never heard from any of my Candalaria neighbors in the past 15 years about how it would be nice to have retail businesses within the neighborhood itself. I do not think this is a good idea.

There is already a variety of shopping and retail establishments toward liberty and commericial streets and are within walking distance. Not sure this would be helpful for the neighborhood, and might be hurtful.

while I appreciate this forest park being listed as Public Amusement, the zoning should really continue along the entire crosian creek trail if possible. It not possible then the trail should remain a trail in its existing form.

This is already a school crossing where cars speed and kids almost get run over practically every day. The school district doesn't always have a crossing guard here. I'm strongly opposed to zoning this as anything but single family homes!

Make Cultus Ave a Cul-de-sac where it meets Boone Rd. Eliminate the added cut through traffic all of the new development is going to bring to the neighborhood to the south. Make the traffic use the collector routes out Battle Creek and Kuebler.

Is this supposed to be MU1 also?

I just jogged around the inside of this large block and it is a great setting. Could be a great walkable mixed use campus in the future.

Consider ESMU for more future flexibility in the future

Consider ESMU for more future flexibility

Would love to see the city assemble the 3 properties that use this street for access, so that the street can be removed. Remain site would be a showcase property with great mixed use/housing potential

Why are you trying to change a residential neighborhood with businesses? This area already is congested with school traffic and placing shops along an already heavily travelled street makes no sense.

Why are you trying to change a residential neighborhood with businesses? This area already is congested with school traffic and placing shops along an already heavily travelled street makes no sense. Make Cultus Ave a Cul-de-sac where it meets Boone Rd. Eliminate the added cut through traffic all of the new development is going to bring to the neighborhood to the south. Make the traffic use the collector routes out Battle Creek and Kuebler.

Why are you trying to change a residential neighborhood with businesses? This area already is congested with school traffic and placing shops along an already heavily travelled street makes no sense.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Petitioner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Why are you trying to change a residential neighborhood with businesses? This area already is congested with school traffic and placing shops along an already heavily travelled street makes no sense.</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why are you trying to change a residential neighborhood with businesses? This area already is congested with school traffic and placing shops along an already heavily travelled street makes no sense.</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why are you trying to change a residential neighborhood with businesses? This area already is congested with school traffic and placing shops along an already heavily travelled street makes no sense.</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why are you trying to change a residential neighborhood with businesses? This area already is congested with school traffic and placing shops along an already heavily travelled street makes no sense.</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why is this change being proposed? We've had to wait years for this park to be created &amp; it now appears you want to destroy it. Please don't change the zoning!</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please do not change the zoning here. A recent apt. complex nearby has created many congestion problems with traffic, parking, and access for emergency vehicles.</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please don’t create more congestion! Shops already are accessible nearby &amp; none are needed on this busy street.</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please leave this area is. There is already too much congestion as is. The wildlife and rare birds need to keep their home..</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I agree to the zoning change if the purpose is to re-classify this area as a park vice agriculture land. Parking is always a problem and Mildred St is heavily used by commuters.</td>
<td>West Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>RA 97306</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No to any commercial establishment in this RS neighborhood. Commercial St is only a few minutes away. Keep the noise and traffic away.</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you removing the community garden to provide a park, or is this in addition to?</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Neighborhood Hub here should be conditional on sidewalk access, cross walks, traffic calming. I’m concerned that the west property is large for neighborhood-scale development.</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is a beautiful area that needs to be preserved to protect the wildlife and the green space. Multi family homes is not the answer. A big mistake</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>RM2 97302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not make this a RM2. It will ruin the area for the wildlife and the green space.</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>RM2 97302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t rip out all the trees. We need it for clean air and habitat.DorfÇÖt build here. The road is too dangerous.</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>RM2 97304</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not in favor of this. Too much traffic and</td>
<td>Sunny slope Neighborhood Association Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unless the vehicle noise, racing and speed limit is lowered and enforced on Hazeldgreen between Portland Rd. and Cordon (unlikely, given this is a transit artery East), anything that increases population density in this area will be at odds with road use.</td>
<td>Sunny slope Neighborhood Association Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oppose - 1.not one neighbor in surrounding area is aware will change to commercial - 2. there is no need for change -acacia is steep people will not walk up to access - will increase traffic</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFTER we finish a third bridge to support the overcrowding and traffic congestion that west Salem is already struggling under, then perhaps making this a multi family use area would be appropriate. Until we have the infrastructure, this is ill-advised!</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is poorly planned at best. Until a bridge is built to support the swelling population we currently have in west Salem, we cannot burden neighborhoods with even more congestion, noise, pollution, etc. Develop a bridge, not destroy neighborhoods!</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NH 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The City of Salem cannot continue to build residential housing and trip generation without addressing much needed transportation improvements. If the City wants a "Little Los Angeles" here you are on the right track. Need representation on city council.

NO! What a terrible idea when we don’t have the schools, bridges, or street infrastructure to support this crazy urban development in the country! This will destroy the current surrounding properties and all the neighborhoods up Orchard Heights Road.

We need a green space here. Please expand the park and do not put apartments or homes! This is a quiet neighborhood and we do not want the increased traffic and apartments looking into our single story homes.

Why are we continuing to make traffic worse without making any infrastructure improvements. Densify downtown, that is a known planning model-you all know that.

There are numerous business on Lancaster which is within walking distance. There is no need to add further congestion to this area.

Build a bridge, not crummy apartments and cheap stores that destroy the surrounding neighborhoods and back up traffic even more!!

Why are we generating more trips across bridges that are inadequate? Look at all the vacant land/infill opportunity along the Mission St corridor.

There is a lot of wildlife here please do not destroy it. We regularly see hawks, vultures, deer and turkeys. An expansion of the park would be better for the environment and our quiet neighborhood. Please no apartments!

This area is serving as green space for neighbors and wildlife. To add multiple residential dwellings would destroy the neighborhood livability and add more traffic.

These are large properties with room for additional dwellings.

Sunnyview and Hollywood are busy streets with students walking to McKay, Swegle and Blanchet. Adding more congestion to Sunnyview will decrease student safety.

This is a very busy corner with traffic often backed up .4 mile trying to get from Hollywood to Sunnyview. Adding business or multi family housing will increase congestion.

There are numerous business on Lancaster which is within walking distance. There is no need to add further congestion to this area.

Adding congestion to this area is a safety hazard for students walking to schools.

This lot has no space for parking and there is no parking on Sunnyview.

This lot has no space for parking and will create a hazard for cars trying to enter Sunnyview.

This is a busy street with no on street parking. Adding businesses or additional housing will cause hazardous traffic.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>933</td>
<td>I have witnessed two high school students being hit by cars as they attempted to cross Sunnyview at Hollywood. Adding businesses will decrease student safety further.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NOLA</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>934</td>
<td>This land would be better suited as a park for residents to enjoy. Salem is already so crowded and we don't need more density at the expense of public green space.</td>
<td>Snarfy knutson</td>
<td>PA 97306</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>935</td>
<td>I do not live in this neighborhood but know it to be a residential area. Within 1/2 mile you can find a minimart type store, medical facilities, a gym with various services.</td>
<td>Dick Painter</td>
<td>NH 97304</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>936</td>
<td>This open space is needed to preserve wetlands, trees etc. We need more open space for people in this area. Not nearing enough. Mixed us would be a disaster and so would multi family.</td>
<td>LD</td>
<td>PA 97306</td>
<td>Morningside Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>937</td>
<td>Expand bus line services into the neighborhood to make access to existing retail more accessible. Don't destroy much needed housing.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>938</td>
<td>Residents of this neighborhood are not interested in a hub in this location. Please listen to the residents and not to city councils who think they know what to best for an area they don't live in.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>939</td>
<td>This is such a lovely neighborhood safe for families/children and pleasantly quiet in the evenings. Such a large development in the middle of it will destroy the charm, threaten the safety of if not on them's residence &amp; shatter the peace/quiet.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>940</td>
<td>Holder lane is heavily used as is for people trying to shortcut traffic on Liberty to get to Kuebler. We don't need the potential hundreds of more residents living in a small neighborhood. Plus added apartments would devalue the neighborhood.</td>
<td>Eddy</td>
<td>RS 97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>941</td>
<td>Too many kids live in this heavily residential area. Keep commercial zones out of established residential areas. Hill is too steep for truck deliveries plus noise would be too loud.</td>
<td>Eddy</td>
<td>RS 97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>942</td>
<td>Clean up the city center. Don't invite trouble into the neighborhoods.</td>
<td>Eddy</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>943</td>
<td>This should not be used as commercial entertainment. This would increase crime in a heavily residential area due to increase traffic.</td>
<td>Eddy</td>
<td>PA 97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>944</td>
<td>Trying to slip this development in during a world wide pandemic isn't fair to the residents. The approach by the city to rush in this development seems deceptive and under handed.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>945</td>
<td>The community is trying to survive a pandemic. Now is not the time to disrupt neighborhoods because the city leaders thinks isn't it possible to a good idea.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>946</td>
<td>The city leaders don't care about what residents really want/need or the livability of this neighborhood. All they care about is raking in tax money from commercial properties. Sad state of affairs. Save our neighborhood!</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>947</td>
<td>Bike path/pedestrian path needs to be added here. Roads are super dangerous to walk and bike. There aren't sidewalks in this area</td>
<td>Eddy</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Southeast Salem Neighborhood Association (SESNA)</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>948</td>
<td>Add multiuse pedestrian path. Connect to south Salem area, State Street path. Could be great alternative to driving to the breweries.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Southeast Salem Neighborhood Association (SESNA)</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>949</td>
<td>Connect sidewalk please!</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Southeast Salem Neighborhood Association (SESNA)</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>I have lived on Rogers Ln for 41 yrs and it does not need more traffic that a series of stores might bring. I am opposed</td>
<td>Mike Vorderstrasse</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>951</td>
<td>Another area walkable and bikeable to downtown and you want to downzone? This seems like perfect areas for small apartments.</td>
<td>Robert M</td>
<td>RM2 97302</td>
<td>Southeast Salem Neighborhood Association (SESNA)</td>
<td>Ward 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>952</td>
<td>We don't need retail sales &amp; services to take up land use when homes are dearly needed. Not apartments either, single family homes!</td>
<td>Courtney Harris</td>
<td>RA 97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>953</td>
<td>This area has a shared use agreement between City of Salem and Salem Keizer School District and should be Public Amusement to maintain the shared use.</td>
<td>Shirlene Gonzalez</td>
<td>PA 97305</td>
<td>North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA)</td>
<td>Ward 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>954</td>
<td>This area is a shared use area as agreed between City of Salem and Salem Keizer Schools. It should be PA to maintain that shared use to preserve the intent as planned in the McKay School Park Master Plan.</td>
<td>Shirlene Gonzalez</td>
<td>PA 97305</td>
<td>North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA)</td>
<td>Ward 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This area is a shared use area as agreed between the City of Salem and Salem Keizer Schools. It should be PA to maintain that shared use as planned in the McKay School Park Master Plan.

Shirlene Gonzalez PA 97305 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Zoning should remain RA or be changed to RS or ideally PA so that future use could expand the footprint of Brown Road Park since it has a small footprint and is key for the livability of the neighborhood.

Shirlene Gonzalez PA 97305 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Retain as RA or zone as RS. Businesses will decrease safety of pedestrian zone, increase congestion and decrease livability of open space and public amusement of the park across the street.

Shirlene Gonzalez RS 97305 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Retain as RA or change to RS. Neighborhood Hub is not well placed across from neighborhood park. Businesses would decrease safety of pedestrian zone, increase congestion and decrease livability of open space and public amusement of park across the street.

Shirlene Gonzalez RS 97305 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Retain as RA or change to RS. There area has existing congestion and pedestrian safety concerns with the school traffic.

Shirlene Gonzalez RS 97305 East Lancaster Neighborhood Association (ELNA) Ward 6 YES

Retain as RA or change to RS. For all parcels of this area of 45th and Market/Swegle, do not zone as NH due to existing congestion and concerns of pedestrian safety due to school traffic.

Shirlene Gonzalez RS 97305 East Lancaster Neighborhood Association (ELNA) Ward 6 YES

For all parcel on Sunnyview, retain as RA or change to RS. Sunnyview has existing traffic concerns, specifically with students walking to various area schools. Keep kids safe. Even though there is a bus route, congestion would increase with a zone change

Shirlene Gonzalez RS 97305 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Retain as RA or change to RS. There area has existing congestion and pedestrian safety concerns with the school traffic. We don't want this and we don't need it.

Jeffrey Isbell youcutmeoff NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I am absolutely opposed to the refining of these homes in my neighborhood. The beauty of this area is that it is exclusively homes. We don't want this and we don't need it.

Marie Hulett NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I am opposed to the rezoning of this area as a neighborhood hub. In speaking with neighbors, no one wants this.

Marie Hulett NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I am opposed to this rezoning. Our neighborhood is for neighbors, not businesses.

Marie Hulett NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I am opposed to this rezoning. Having businesses in our neighborhood will be detrimental to neighborhood activities.

Marie Hulett NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I am opposed to this rezoning.

Marie Hulett NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

First of all, did we even ASK for this? Somebody obviously thinks this is a good idea, but I don't. This is a happy neighborhood - as is. Why am I only hearing about this now? I understand the claim has been made that everyone was notified; I can assure you.

Jeffrey Isbell NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

This proposed zoning is entirely inappropriate. People make decisions to live in this neighborhood because of what it is with no expectation of zoning change.

Jeffrey Isbell NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

Have there been any studies to show how property values will be affected? If not, do not proceed.

NH 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I am opposed to this rezoning. This area always experiences heavy traffic during school hours. The LAST thing we need is business traffic.

Marie Hulett NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I feel like the people who devised this plan don't even know what already within walking distance. We have food service, hair stylists, stores, and more. This is a bad idea.

Marie Hulett NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

This is not wanted. Further, you made little attempts to properly notify the neighborhood. This map is buggy and hard to use, leading to false assumption that there are few comments against this project.

Marie Hulett NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

Why is a zoning change necessary? Why not make it CR instead? This neighborhood does not need any property zoned as CR

Marie Hulett NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

Keep RA or update to PA. When property is for sale, purchase to expand Brown Road Park.

PA 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Keep residential to avoid parking problems and congestion across from the park.

RS 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Keep residential to avoid problems with added congestion near park.

RS 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES
978 All NH at this crossroad zone RS to mitigate further traffic congestion and danger to school children. RS 0 East Lancaster Neighborhood Association Ward 6 YES

979 zone PA to align with and maintain current use PA 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association Ward 6 YES

980 zone PA to align with and maintain current use PA 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association Ward 6 YES

981 all proposed R4 near intersection of 45th and Sunnyview - keep to RS to mitigate increased traffic challenges - intersection does not have a light and has sight challenges - increased traffic would be problematic RS 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association Ward 6 YES

982 Any increase to Davis Rd traffic, by access to/from this area, it creates a substantial safety issue with current narrow roads and limited visibility. The small area size will not be a true neighborhood hub but a place to stick a gas station!. Michele Knutson NH 97306 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

983 SAFETY ISSUE: Do not increase traffic on Davis Rd. This road is extremely narrow with many blind entry points already. NH 97306 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

984 Davis Rd is hazardous enough with any added traffic due to businesses. Use Mildred to add a NH zone! NH 97306 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

985 Dangerously narrow road already. NH 97306 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

986 We are opposed to this proposed neighborhood hub zoning change. There are no advantages in having commercial establishment in this location. There is commercial services within a four block walking distance. Not needed nor wanted. John Gratchner NONE 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

987 This road is incredibly congested, the road is narrow, and the traffic is super fast. This wouldn't help the situation and would cause more congestion and possibly accidents. NH 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

988 My family lives on Red Oak Drive, and this proposed change would not only decrease our home value significantly, but the water pressure in our area is already too high. Significant construction would require serious city updates to our water system. Julie Drohman RM2 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

989 We oppose these changes. We do not want these buildings here! NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

990 Davis Rd is very congested now. Everything surrounding this area are single homes. Davis is very narrow and will not support additional traffic of retail. Davis and Bella Cresta is a dangerous intersection as is. Do not make Davis more difficult. John Gratchner NONE 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

991 1. Neighborhood residents haven't been surveyed about a need for a "hub." 2. Grocery, coffee, restaurants, barber, salon services are currently within walking distance. 3. Lots could provide needed residential housing. 4. Congested traffic area. Judy Martin NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

992 As a homeowner on Huckleberry Ct. S, (3345) I strongly oppose the proposed zoning change for this area. There are many other areas in which to build commercially. We already have too few emergency exit streets in our neighborhood. Dr. Diane L. Young MU-I 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

993 The traffic visibility for peds and cars is limited due to cunningham hill. Added congestion is ill-advised. There are no sidewalks in most of this neighborhood including the main traffic street, Cunningham. Shopping is good near by already. NONE 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

994 All streets s. and e. are narrow. Developing the intersection solely to accommodate congestion for amenities not needed, does not serve the neighborhood. We need sidewalks not a business hub. NH 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

995 I strongly oppose the zone change at this location. We do not have the population density to support local neighborhood businesses. The development of such a "hub" would negatively change the character of this neighborhood and quality of life here. Russell Christensen RS 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

996 Why put RM2 in area surrounded by RM1? RM1 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

997 I strongly oppose the proposed zoning change. Multi-use zoning is intended for heavily populated areas that would benefit from pedestrian access to commercial businesses. This area is neither heavily populated nor accessible to pedestrians. Sarah Clovis Bishop MU-I 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

998 I strongly oppose the proposed rezoning. This is a residential district with more than enough access to retail businesses. Changing the zoning will add to traffic problems and a fundamental shift in the neighborhood. Sarah Clovis Bishop NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>I strongly oppose the proposed rezoning. This is a residential district with more than enough access to retail businesses. Changing the zoning will add to traffic problems and a fundamental shift in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>Sarah Clovis Bishop</td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>I strongly oppose the proposed rezoning. This is a residential district with more than enough access to retail businesses. Changing the zoning will add to traffic problems and a fundamental shift in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>Sarah Clovis Bishop</td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>I strongly oppose the proposed rezoning. This is a residential district with more than enough access to retail businesses. Changing the zoning will add to traffic problems and a fundamental shift in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>Sarah Clovis Bishop</td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002</td>
<td>I strongly oppose the proposed rezoning. This is a residential district with more than enough access to retail businesses. Changing the zoning will add to traffic problems and a fundamental shift in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>Sarah Clovis Bishop</td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
<td>I strongly oppose the proposed zoning change. Multi-use zoning is intended for heavily populated areas that would benefit from pedestrian access to commercial businesses. This area is neither heavily populated nor accessible to pedestrians.</td>
<td>Sarah Clovis Bishop</td>
<td>NONE 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1004</td>
<td>I strongly oppose the proposed zoning change. Multi-use zoning is intended for heavily populated areas that would benefit from pedestrian access to commercial businesses. This area is neither heavily populated nor accessible to pedestrians.</td>
<td>Sarah Clovis Bishop</td>
<td>MU-I 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005</td>
<td>I strongly oppose the proposed zoning change. Multi-use zoning is intended for heavily populated areas that would benefit from pedestrian access to commercial businesses. This area is neither heavily populated nor accessible to pedestrians.</td>
<td>Sarah Clovis Bishop</td>
<td>MU-I 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>There is already a shortage of parking at Candelaria. This is a terrible idea.</td>
<td>Jennifer Hiser</td>
<td>NH 97306</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>I strongly oppose the proposed zoning change. Multi-use zoning is intended for heavily populated areas that would benefit from pedestrian access to commercial businesses. This area is neither heavily populated nor accessible to pedestrians.</td>
<td>Sarah Clovis Bishop</td>
<td>MU-I 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008</td>
<td>I am opposed to the rezoning of this area.</td>
<td></td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1009</td>
<td>I am opposed to the rezoning of this area.</td>
<td></td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>I am opposed to the rezoning of this area.</td>
<td></td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1011</td>
<td>I am opposed to the rezoning of this area.</td>
<td></td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1012</td>
<td>I am opposed to the rezoning of this area.</td>
<td></td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1013</td>
<td>I am opposed to the rezoning of this area.</td>
<td></td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1014</td>
<td>I am opposed to the rezoning of this area.</td>
<td></td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1015</td>
<td>I am opposed to the rezoning of this area.</td>
<td></td>
<td>NH 97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1016</td>
<td>Greatly oppose rezoning to MU. We have worked very hard to get where we are, pay some of the highest taxes in Salem, and do not want our neighborhoods to be destroyed or property values down by this consideration by those that don't even live here.</td>
<td></td>
<td>RS 97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1017</td>
<td>Safe neighborhood will become less so, crime rate will go up, property values will fall, traffic will increase. So will taxes, some the highest in Salem already.</td>
<td></td>
<td>RS 0</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1018</td>
<td>It will destroy property value, increase crime, and cause mass exodus of a great neighborhood.</td>
<td></td>
<td>RS 97306</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1019</td>
<td>Zone change will destroy nature, increase traffic on already busy streets, decrease safety of neighborhoods, and ruin property value.</td>
<td></td>
<td>RS 0</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Number</td>
<td>Comment Text</td>
<td>Voter ID</td>
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<td>Support Level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
<td>Proposed changes will destroy property value, the safe neighborhoods we pride in maintaining, increase traffic in crime. Meanwhile taxes will increase.</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1021</td>
<td>We object to the proposed zoning change from residential to mixed use. This change would affect traffic on River Rd S, the quality of life as a residential neighborhood and the value of the existing homes on Huckleberry Ct S. Please do not approve.</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1022</td>
<td>We object to the proposed zoning change from residential to mixed use. This change would affect traffic on River Rd S, the quality of life as a residential neighborhood and the value of the existing homes on Huckleberry Ct S. Please do not approve.</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1023</td>
<td>We object to the proposed zoning change from residential to mixed use. This change would affect traffic on River Rd S, the quality of life as a residential neighborhood and the value of the existing homes on Huckleberry Ct S. Please do not approve.</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>We object to the proposed zoning change from residential to mixed use. This change would affect traffic on River Rd S, the quality of life as a residential neighborhood and the value of the existing homes on Huckleberry Ct S. Please do not approve.</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025</td>
<td>We object to the proposed zoning change from residential to mixed use. This change would affect traffic on River Rd S, the quality of life as a residential neighborhood and the value of the existing homes on Huckleberry Ct S. Please do not approve.</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1026</td>
<td>We object to the proposed zoning change from residential to mixed use. This change would affect traffic on River Rd S, the quality of life as a residential neighborhood and the value of the existing homes on Huckleberry Ct S. Please do not approve.</td>
<td>MU-I</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1027</td>
<td>I am opposed to the rezoning of this area. There is already very little parking for the school and this area is a mess during the school day. The sidewalks are HORRIBLE right there and this would not be an accessible location for those with disabilities.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1028</td>
<td>I’m opposed to the rezoning of this area. There is no need for commerical stuff here, the beautiful of this neighborhood is the neighborhood.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1029</td>
<td>There should be a path between these two neighborhoods through the cemetery.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030</td>
<td>Strongly opposed to a zone change</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1031</td>
<td>Strongly opposed</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1032</td>
<td>Please leave this area RA. We moved to this part of town because of the quiet neighborhood with lower volume traffic. These proposed changes would eliminate that.</td>
<td>Terri Romanini</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1033</td>
<td>Adding apartments here will increase traffic. The traffic already backs up on Kuebler because of the high school. These proposed changes would eliminate that.</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1034</td>
<td>Please leave this area RA. We moved to this part of town because of the quiet neighborhood with lower volume traffic. These proposed changes would eliminate that.</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1035</td>
<td>Please leave this area RA. We moved to this part of town because of the quiet neighborhood with lower volume traffic. These proposed changes would eliminate that.</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1036</td>
<td>If land must be developed for housing, I would like to see single family homes so we have neighbors who make a long term investment into our community.</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1037</td>
<td>Please leave this area RA. We moved to this part of town because of the quiet neighborhood with lower volume traffic. These proposed changes would eliminate that.</td>
<td>Terri Romanini</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1038</td>
<td>Please leave this area RA. We moved to this part of town because of the quiet neighborhood with lower volume traffic. These proposed changes would eliminate that.</td>
<td>Terri Romanini</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1039</td>
<td>Please leave this area RA. We moved to this part of town because of the quiet neighborhood with lower volume traffic. These proposed changes would eliminate that.</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1040 If land must be developed, single family homes preserves nature by having yard space for each home. Apartments do not preserve nature and will eliminate homes of our wildlife.

RA 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1041 NH zone allows uses e.g. taverns, bars, liquor store, 24 hr donut shop, laundromat, blood bank, urgent care, etc. - see SRC 400.045, 400.065 for others: Unrealistic, unnecessary, economically unsustainable. Existing commercial less than 1/4 mi on River Rd

Jeff Tross NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1042 With the massive development going into the Fairview and neighboring properties as we speak, this area needs to be left primarily green space. Open land, forest, wildlife habitat, recreation and picnic. Use your imagination.

KC Holmes RM2 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

1043 Opposed to NH. Unnecessary Note extensive areas of CR and IC zoning 1/4 mi west on River Rd., already zoned for commercial or mixed-use activities.

Jeff Tross NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1044 We have a hub down the street: shopping, restaurants, salon, gym. We don't need a 2nd one in the middle of our neighborhood. There is a school on Cunningham Ln and we don't need more traffic it isn't a through street. we need sidewalks for our kids.

RA 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1045 We have a hub down the street: shopping, restaurants, salon, gym. We don't need a 2nd one in the middle of our neighborhood. There is a school on Cunningham Ln and we don't need more traffic it isn't a through street. we need sidewalks for our kids.

RA 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1046 We have a hub down the street: shopping, restaurants, salon, gym. We don't need a 2nd one in the middle of our neighborhood. There is a school on Cunningham Ln and we don't need more traffic it isn't a through street. we need sidewalks for our kids.

RA 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1047 if this is supposed to be "pedestrian-oriented" then this is NOT the location for it, there is no sidewalk here, it is not pedestrian friendly. This is a bad idea

NONE 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1048 river road is not set up for this kind of traffic ... this is not a good idea, look at the roads and realize this would be a major problem for the surrounding areas.

NONE 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1049 I oppose this rezoning. Adding more traffic will disrupt the tranquil culture of this neighborhood.

Dustin Sanders NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1050 I oppose this rezoning. Adding more traffic will disrupt the tranquil culture of this neighborhood.

Dustin Sanders NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1051 I oppose this rezoning. Adding more traffic will disrupt the tranquil culture of this neighborhood.

Dustin Sanders NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1052 I oppose this rezoning. Adding more traffic will disrupt the tranquil culture of this neighborhood.

Dustin Sanders NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1053 I oppose this rezoning. Perhaps rezoning to allow for more parking. Businesses will cause congestion that will reduce the safety of the children who walk to/from school.

Dustin Sanders NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1054 I oppose this rezoning. Perhaps rezoning to allow for more parking. Businesses will cause congestion that will reduce the safety of the children who walk to/from school.

Dustin Sanders NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1055 I oppose this rezoning. Perhaps rezoning to allow for more parking. Businesses will cause congestion that will reduce the safety of the children who walk to/from school.

Dustin Sanders NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1056 We object to the zoning change for this parcel in our neighborhood. We want our area to remain single family dwelling to preserve our quality of life. This is not a commercial area.

Eric Schuman and Lorn Folsom Mock Oranje Ct. S

NONE 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1057 I live adjacent to this land and strongly oppose the change in zoning. We already have a hub at Liberty and Cunningham. Thank you.

Karen Litvin RA 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1058 Strongly oppose rezoning to MUI. I bust my butt to be able to live in this area, and pay exuberantly in property taxes to have some rezoning committee come destroy the safety, comfort, and happiness of this community.

RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1059 Oppose rezoning to MUI. Traffic will increase, property values will drop, crime will increase. Kate already ruined Portland with these ideals. Don't follow those ethics with Salem neighborhoods. Salem is already declining.

RS 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1060 MUI is a bad idea for this area. It will ruin this quiet neighborhood.

RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES
1061  Intensely oppose! Lone Oak is a small road already with high enough traffic volume. Don't want anymore in the neighborhoods.  RS  97306  South Gateway Neighborhood Association  Ward 4  YES
1062  Highly oppose. Will destroy wildlife habitat in this area, take away the beauty of the land, and force animals to relocate.  RS  97306  South Gateway Neighborhood Association  Ward 4  YES
1063  Do not want animals to be displaced yet again from their habitat that they have adapted to, as well as neighbors adapted to them.  RS  97306  South Gateway Neighborhood Association  Ward 4  YES
1064  We use this trail often it is a special part of teh neighborhood and s. Salem it should be protected  RS  97306  South Gateway Neighborhood Association  Ward 4  YES
1065  This particular area should not be rezoned for retail or apartment use. The area is a pleasant island of green amongst houses. It contains a diversity of plants and animals both of which would be destroyed with the rezoning.  John  NONE  97306  South Gateway Neighborhood Association  Ward 4  YES
1066  Need to encourage home ownership.  Dan  RS  97306  South Gateway Neighborhood Association  Ward 4  YES
1067  We are only a few blocks from a neighborhood hub with restaurants, a salon, grocery store, and more. Keeping our neighborhood pleasant and peaceful is our priority. Please do not rezone our area.  Waltz Family  RA  97302  Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association  Ward 7  YES
1068  This area is already very tricky to navigate during school pick up and drop off times adding businesses would further complicate this as well as creating higher traffic in the school zone. I am very opposed to the rezoning of this area.  NH  0  SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)  Ward 7  YES
1069  This park offers a great place for neighbors to gather and spend time. Turning it into anything else would detract from the feel of the neighborhood.  NONE  0  SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)  Ward 7  YES
1070  A change to RM2 and high density housing will increase traffic and noise on Kuebler, Croisan Scenic and Red Oak. The traffic on Kuebler is already very bad at times.  RA  0  Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association  Ward 7  YES
1071  Our neighborhood does not need commercial development. The NH zoning allows for bars or convenience stores. How is this preserving our neighborhood? It will bring more traffic, more noise and more pollution. This is a horrible idea and we don't want it.  NH  0  SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)  Ward 7  YES
1072  "proposed changes will generally expand what you can do with your property” is what you say when people can add fencing in their front yard or park an RV there, NOT when the zoning is changed to allow businesses in a quiet residential neighborhood.  NH  0  SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)  Ward 7  YES
1073  I oppose this change. There is ample access to commercial businesses on River Rd and on Commercial St. This will turn a quiet, friendly neighborhood into an unsightly commercial development with asphalt parking lots and neon signs. Why????????  NH  0  SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)  Ward 7  YES
1074  Seriously? You’re proposing a “pedestrian oriented” development on a street that doesn’t even have sidewalks? Laurel Springs is fine as is. We don’t need commercial development. If we want coffee, we can walk to the French Press or Roth's.  NH  0  SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)  Ward 7  YES
1075  Leave this single family neighborhood as is. Public Bus transportation does not go through anymore. Multi-use will destroy value. Taxes will need to decrease for sure.  MU-I  0  South Gateway Neighborhood Association  Ward 4  YES
1076  I worry about additional traffic and access as well as steep terrain.  Margaret Sather  R4  97304  West Salem Neighborhood Association  Ward 8  YES
1077  This park is excellent land use. Trying to bring large scale activities in and out would be inefficient and could ruin the character of the neighborhood for existing residents. The traffic flow problems are mind boggling.  Margaret Sather  PA  97304  West Salem Neighborhood Association  Ward 8  YES
1078  We’d love to have a neighborhood hub in this area. This would be a welcome addition to the neighborhood.  NH  97301  Highland Neighborhood Association  Ward 1  YES
1079  Mixed-use zoning along Fairgrounds makes sense  NONE  0  Grant Neighborhood Association  Ward 1  YES
1080  West Salem needs more commercial areas. I support this change.  NH  0  West Salem Neighborhood Association  Ward 8  YES
1081  This parcel (owned by the church) should be rezoned for a small park.  NONE  97301  Highland Neighborhood Association  Ward 1  YES
1082  This area is fully residential in an established, strong neighborhood. There are retail commercial areas within easy walking distances. To add retail at this site would irrevocably change the neighborhood, increasing traffic and chaos. Keep it residential  CR  97302  SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)  Ward 7  YES
1083  Do not change this from residential to commercial. Strongly oppose.  Jill Rupert  NONE  97302  SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)  Ward 7  YES
1084  This seems like a bad idea for this location, the area is steep and lacks pedestrian access. Also fast traffic.  Julie Masters  MU-I  97302  SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)  Ward 7  YES
This area and the adjacent areas are a wetland and should not be developed.

Julie Masters
MU-I 97302
SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)
Ward 7
YES

Making this 'public amusement' serves no purpose and certainly does not benefit the community. This is a park where families can relax and enjoy open space. Do not rezone this area!

NONE 97306
South Gateway Neighborhood Association
Ward 4
YES

This has always been a beautiful "green" area in Salem. The thought of making this a retail area is a great sadness to all of us who moved here to escape the craziness of the city with the noise and traffic.

CR 0
Morningside Neighborhood Association
Ward 3
YES

Lone Oak is already a very dangerous road. To add more traffic, will just add more accidents, let alone having more people walking on the street is just asking for trouble.

RM2 97306
South Gateway Neighborhood Association
Ward 4
YES

Does the Planning Committee realize that this is a historical part of Salem. This is the original homestead of the namesake "Mildred"?

CR 97306
South Gateway Neighborhood Association
Ward 4
YES

We do not need more traffic and already have hubs a few blocks away on liberty

Hayes Family
R4 97302
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association
Ward 7
YES

Not an appropriate location for NH. Kurth is very narrow, hill on Cunningham is dangerous- limited sight, NH incongruous with surrounding neighborhood. We have plenty of shopping nearby.

Wilson NH 97302
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association
Ward 7
YES

We do not want our residential neighbor turned into a hub. There is a hub down the street that takes care if those needs. We have families here and many walkers, children, and family atmosphere. No stores are needed!

Hayes Family
R4 97302
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association
Ward 7
YES

Families live here. No hub!

Hayes Famiky
R4 97302
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association
Ward 7
YES

Neighborhood hub zoning is not appropriate. These streets don't feed into large areas and we don't need more traffic coming in- we already have too much for the narrow streets. NH doesn't make any sense here.

NH 0
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association
Ward 7
YES

Family neighborhood. No need for a hub. Stores on Cunningham and Liberty

Hayes family
R4 97302
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association
Ward 7
YES

No hub. Family neighborhood only. Stores 4 blocks away. Too much traffic. Get rid of marijuana grow across the street

Hayes family
NONE 97302
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association
Ward 7
YES

We do not support changing from residential to a hub. There are stores on Cunningham/Liberty. Too much traffic would be detrimental to this family neighborhood.

RA 0
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association
Ward 7
YES

Families live here. No hub!

Hayes Famiky
R4 97302
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association
Ward 7
YES

I am opposed to this zoning change on the acre of land 4394 Kurth/1433 Cunningham. We already have a "community hub" at Cunningham/Liberty. You allowed a change for apartments at Brownin/Kurth already. This creates further safety/congestion issues.

Larry Tang
RA 97302
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association
Ward 7
YES

Very dangerous corner with high student walking traffic

MU-I 0
South Gateway Neighborhood Association
Ward 4
YES

I am opposed to this zoning change. Just a half mile down the road is the Sunnyslope Shopping Center. Placing a neighborhood hub in this location just does not make any sense. Cunningham is already not conducive to handling foot traffic.

Jennifer Quisenberry
CR 97302
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association
Ward 4
YES

I am opposed to this zoning change. Just a half mile down the road is the Sunnyslope Shopping Center. Placing a neighborhood hub in this location just does not make any sense. Cunningham is already not conducive to handling foot traffic.

Jennifer Quisenberry
RS 97302
Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association
Ward 7
YES
1112 I am opposed to this zoning change. Just a half mile down the road is the Sunnyslope Shopping Center. Placing a neighborhood hub in this location just does not make any sense. Cunningham is already not conducive to handling foot traffic.

Jennifer Quisenberry RS 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1113 Creating a neighborhood hub here would bring down property values and bring unnecessary increased traffic on an already busy road. There is a shopping center just a few blocks away.

Mike Q. NONE 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1114 Creating a neighborhood hub here would bring down property values and bring unnecessary increased traffic on an already busy road. There is a shopping center just a few blocks away.

Mike Q. NONE 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1115 Creating a neighborhood hub here would bring down property values and bring unnecessary increased traffic on an already busy road. There is a shopping center just a few blocks away.

Mike Q. NONE 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1116 There is no need for a neighborhood hub in this location. Sidewalks are needed, and Kurth cannot handle additional traffic. Also, possibly rezoning to allow up to 4 housing units would be more beneficial by providing infill housing opportunities.

NH 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1117 This is not a good location for NH. Sidewalks and infill housing are needed more. There is a full strip mall approx 1/4 mile away. zone for up to 6 housing units instead.

NH 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1118 This property is the best geographic option for a mixed use value add in this area.

MU-I 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1119 This is a residential area where lots of families and kids walk

NONE 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1120 lots of kids walking to school here with already fast traffic

NONE 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1121 I oppose this rezoning. The area is already congested due to school, steep hills, limited sidewalks and poor visibility. School does not even have designated parking. There is ample access to commercial businesses near by.

Leilani Slama NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1122 I oppose this rezoning. The area is already congested due to school, steep hills, limited sidewalks and poor visibility. School does not even have designated parking. There is ample access to commercial businesses near by.

Leilani Slama NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1123 I oppose this rezoning. The area is already congested due to school, steep hills, limited sidewalks and poor visibility. School does not even have designated parking. There is ample access to commercial businesses near by.

Leilani Slama NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1124 Please consider improving the walkability of this existing neighborhood by adding/repairing sidewalks, not opening commercial establishments and parking lots. Those who wish to walk to restaurants or stores can easily get to River Road or Commercial St.

Leilani Slama NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1125 I strongly oppose this rezoning. It is not only unnecessary, it is shortsighted. We have a housing shortage in Salem - why would you take four houses away and add commercial property? Leave the neighborhood as it is.

NH 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1126 I strongly oppose rezoning this area. It makes no sense. Access to this area is through established neighborhoods only. Punching a road through to River Rd will invite more traffic, congestion and crime.

Leilani Slama MU-I 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1127 Current neighborhood character, traffic on Acacia and proximity to existing and more appropriate commercial zoning and businesses make this a poor location for a neighborhood hub.

NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1128 I am opposed to rezoning ALL of the areas along Madrona for R4. With a school and church nearby, there is a lot of pedestrian traffic, but limited sidewalks and very few traffic calming methods. This will bring more traffic to the area.

Leilani Slama R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1129 There is ample access to the desired "live-work" spaces described in the R4 definition on Liberty. No need to increase traffic. Leilani Slama and congestion on an already dangerous street. If the city wants to create liveability, PLEASE add parks, bike lanes, sidewalks.

Leilani Slama R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1130 Please keep this area residential only

R4 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1131 This zoning change would allow for private indoor amusement. This area should be preserved as is - I do not want to see the train destroyed so we can have a video arcade or coffee shop. Do what is needed to ensure this trail is there for generations.

PA 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1132 Isn't this currently a community garden? Do you really believe R4 is a better use of this land?

R4 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1133 We walk our neighborhood to relax and enjoy the peaceful beauty. Neon signs and increased traffic would change the very heart of our neighborhood. This proposal could have our four young children looking out our dining room window at a pub. NO THANK YOU

Kristina Smith NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES
If you haven’t noticed, NO ONE in Laurel Springs wants or needs this. Salem has many land use problems. Do not fix a neighborhood that is not broken.

I strongly oppose this rezoning proposal. Please keep our neighborhood family (NOT BUSINESS) oriented.

We have lived two house from this site for 30yrs. the last thing we all need is more land used for non family homes. we need sidewalks and with all the new homes built since my 30yrs on Kurth St. and the surrounding area , we NEED speed bumps. NOW!

I live in Holiday Court, and strongly oppose any fixed hub across from Candalaria. I have young children and already worry about the amount of traffic and safety in front of the school. There is no parking lot for teachers or families.

We have lived two house from this site for 30yrs. the last thing we all need is more land used for non family homes. we need sidewalks and street lights and taking action to reduce speeds of traffic on Kurth St.

This proposal of MU will ruin our neighborhood. The traffic on Lone Oak, Holder & Wigeon already drive way too fast. Adding multi-unit housing will create even more traffic creating a dangerous situation for the families that currently live here.

We purchased our home in this quiet neighborhood to raise our kids in a safe, quiet space. The traffic on Lone Oak prevented us from letting our kids walk to Sumpter. Lone Oak does not support that type of traffic.

Please ensure that there are lots of bike paths and pedestrian walkways in all of the MU-R zones. A vibrant downtown is dependent on people living in close proximity to the area (high-quality, high-density housing) and easy access.

This is the perfect area for MU1 to MU3 (not Brush College Rd) Boys & Girls Club can support families here

This is the perfect area for MU1 to MU3 (not Brush College Rd) Boys & Girls Club can support families here

I oppose rezoning to MU-1 100%, as this would cripple the wildlife on Gibson Creek. The portion of our property being considered for rezoning is not large enough to support MU-1. I propose the unused/abandoned warehouses and building behind

This is the perfect area for MU1 to MU3 (not Brush College Rd) Boys & Girls Club can support families here

I do not understand this change. The owners of the four lots knew nothing about it until I told one of them. All are elderly, two at least living alone. They do not want such upheaval on their properties. I think this intersection was chosen at random.

This is the perfect area for MU1 to MU3 (not Brush College Rd) Boys & Girls Club can support families here

I'm opposed to this zoning change. This is not a high density residential neighborhood or one that would support business within walking distance. This change would bring more traffic and not less. Residents in this neighborhood would rather drive.
1157 I live at 4354 Kurth St S across the street from 4393 Kurth St S. There is plenty of commercial spaced on Liberty and Cunningham and this property for businesses is not necessary. Kurth St and Cunningham have no sidewalks and will be more dangerous.  

1158 This proposal of MU will ruin our neighborhood. The traffic on Lone Oak, Holder & Wigeon already drive way too fast. Adding multi-unit housing will create even more traffic creating a dangerous situation for the families that currently live here.  

1159 Businesses are not needed here with all the businesses on Liberty and Cunningham. Keep this single family homes which is what people expected when they bought homes here. No sidewalks on narrow Kurth will increased danger to walkers and bikers.  

1160 This proposal of MU will ruin our neighborhood. The traffic on Lone Oak, Holder & Wigeon already drive way too fast. Adding multi-unit housing will create even more traffic creating a dangerous situation for the families that currently live here.  

1161 We moved into this area for the residential nature. Rezoning to MU will cause too much traffic and remove the neighborhood feel as well as decrease safety. The streets cannot handle this type of growth in this area.  

1162 Businesses not need here with all the businesses on Cunningham and Liberty. No sidewalks on Kurth and Cunningham to the South making more traffic dangerous. We bought here because it was single family housing area. Don't change this to commercial.  

1163 Rezoning this area causes safety concerns for our children and other pedestrians in the area crossing lone oak. This is just a bad idea. Not safe and not in the best interest of current homeowners or community.  

1164 Please do not repeat what has happened on liberty. Rezoning of that area has created high traffic and unsafe pedestrian conditions on liberty and that would be the result here. Leave this area as it, preserve some greenspace and wildlife in this area.  

1165 I oppose this rezoning. Site is badly congested on school days. Steep hills on all sides make walking, biking and wheelchair access extremely difficult. Hub zone would allow alcohol, tobacco, weapons & lottery sales across from an elementary school.  

1166 I oppose rezoning this area as it is unnecessary and would not add any meaningful benefit.  

1167 this area should be preserved as green space/park. It is needed in the area.  

1168 These are nice neighborhood homes and should be left that way. All needed services are less than 1/2 mile down the hill. Businesses here would increase traffic and crime and decrease property values. Stupid idea.  

1169 I strongly object to this zoning proposal. This property is located in a high traffic area where limited parking is available. Visibility is often limited when big vehicles drive through and children walk through the area at all days and times to play.  

1170 Details! strongly object to this zoning proposal. This property is located in a high traffic area where limited parking is available. Visibility is often limited when big vehicles drive through and children walk through the area at all days and times to play.  

1171 Details! strongly object to this zoning proposal. This property is located in a high traffic area where limited parking is available. Visibility is often limited when big vehicles drive through and children walk through the area at all days and times to play.  

1172 This is an idiotic idea. Safety of children should be paramount; this proposed change prioritizes development for profit. Stupid proposal by people who do not care about the neighborhood.  

1173 While the Council's intent may be noble, this proposal is misguided, and the lack of communication to the neighbors by the person who proposed it is reprehensible. I oppose this change in the strongest terms.  

1174 I oppose this change for many reasons, most importantly due to the safety concerns as children have to navigate this high traffic area all the time as they go to school or use the playground. This proposal would make things much worse.
1175 We are opposed to the zoning change for this area to Neighborhood Hub.

Carl Lundberg and Ramona Foley

NONE 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1176 To allow commercial development of these lots is not feasible for safety of children and parents that attend the school due to congestion street parking is only available, narrow streets, slope of the hillside, ingress and egress.

John safstrom and Thi Mai

NONE 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1177 Please do NOT allow any commercial zoning in our neighborhood. We already have enough problems with traffic, cut-through drivers, speeding and crime. Shocking that people who do NOT live in this area think this is beneficial.

C. Donald

NONE 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1178 No please do not do this. Acacia is already busy, this neighborhood is a crown jewel and is 70 years old with commercial zoning just down the hill. We dont need this here.

Kevin RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1179 Do not support. Traffic. Fit with neighborhood. Safety of kids going either way to schools. All say this should be single family.

Kevin RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1180 This is an ill conceived change. The roads are not even expandable to make this a reasonable change and certainly wouldnt fit the way they are. There are many kids that live around this area that going to Crossler or Sumpter have to go by this area.

Kevin RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1181 This is an ill conceived change. The roads are not even expandable to make this a reasonable change and certainly wouldnt fit the way they are. There are many kids that live around this area that going to Crossler or Sumpter have to go by this area.

Kevin RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1182 Preserve the peace and serenity of the neighborhood. I oppose the zoning changes. Sweet thought that people would leave their car at home to walk to the store...not likely. Please invest your energies into developing our once beautiful downtown that h

R4 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1183 I oppose this zoning change. This is a neighborhood and should remain a safe, RESIDENTIAL area.

NONE 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1184 This area is already very congested and with a school across the street. Adding a hub will increase the congestion and put our kids and a increase risk when walking to and from school. Please don't do this!!!!

NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1185 Please do not do this!!! This is a wonderful happy neighborhood where kids can ride bikes or walk to friends house. Adding businesses will increase unwanted traffic.

NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1186 Please do not do this!!! This is a wonderful happy neighborhood where kids can ride bikes or walk to friends house. Adding businesses will increase unwanted traffic. We already have businesses close by we can easily get to.

NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1187 We dont want this as a neighborhood! I want my kids to safely be able to walk to school or friends houses. Adding a hub will increase traffic. We have a nice quiet neighborhood and can get to services very easily and don't need or want this addition.

NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1188 I have lived in this neighborhood for 36 years and this without a doubt one of the most ridiculous ideas I have ever heard come out of the city council. This change is not necessary, it EOs not smart and makes absolutely no sense in West Salem.

Greg NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1189 Almost any residence in West Salem is walking, biking or a short car drive from all the services anyone would need. At a time when problems abound for Salem, your complete and utter failure to address the real issues of our city and the West Salem community is mindboggling. Adding retail space in a long established neighborhood is a terrible idea. Clarmount St already sees a high volume of traffic and adding to this would be a huge safety issue for the elementary students attending school.

Greg NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
directly across the street. An additional concern is the increased risk for crime at the retail locations. This would be exacerbated due to living in an era where our elected officials do not support law enforcement and their efforts to stop crime.

Greg NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

And perhaps the icing on the cake would be the proclivity for the homeless to gravitate up to these locations and trash our streets the way they have downtown and so many of our once beautiful and safe city parks. No thank you Salem, we do NOT want this.

Greg NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

I've made a sting of comments due to character limitations. Start here and work your way south on the map to see them all in order.

Greg NH 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

I am very much against a "Neighborhood Hub" across from Candelaria School. The traffic and parking would be ridiculous. We are talking about children’ lives. What in the world are you thinking?

Mary Milbrath NH 97302 Not within a Salem Neighborhood (outside UGB) Not within Salem Ward (outside UGB) NO

I completely agree with the response submitted by SWAN in opposition to the proposed addition of Neighborhood Hub zoning on Hansen St. across from Candelaria School.

Edward Davis RS 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

I completely agree with the comments submitted by SWAN in opposition to the proposed NH zoning at the intersection of Acacia & Camellia.

Edward Davis NH 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

Do it. Walking 2 or more miles for sugar is a bit much

MU-I 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 97305 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 97305 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

This area is already very congested with vehicle & pedestrian traffic. During school start & dismissal times, it’s just a nightmare. Changing the zone to include a business better include enhanced traffic control like a turn lanes and a traffic light.

R4 97305 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.

R4 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES
Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.  
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</table>

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.  
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</tr>
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</table>

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.
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<td>North Lancaster Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 6</td>
<td>YES</td>
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</tr>
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</table>

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.
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</tbody>
</table>

Area is already congested, changing the zone to allow multi-family or business structures decreases livability for existing residents of the area.
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<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>North Lancaster Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 6</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a resident of Holiday Dr S with children who attend Candalaria, I strongly oppose this proposal. It would increase traffic in an already high traffic area that is often unsafe for our children during peak hours.
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<tr>
<td>Suzanne Jorgensen</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This rezone type is not a good fit for this neighborhood. Leave as residental use. This area already has business that fit into a ΓÇ£hubΓÇ¥ description within walking distance.
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<td>North Lancaster Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 6</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This rezone type is not a good fit for this neighborhood. Leave as residential use. This area already has business that fit into a ΓÇ£hubΓÇ¥ description within walking distance

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>North Lancaster Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Ward 6</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. It could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density & commerce on Commercial & Liberty.

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Dixon</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>97302</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN)</td>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1238</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. Could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1239</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. Could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1240</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. Could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1241</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. Could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1242</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this unnecessary and disruptive proposal. This will diminish the value of our quiet neighborhood and be incredibly disruptive. Suzanne Jorgensen NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1243</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. Could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1244</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. Could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1245</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. Could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1246</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. Could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1247</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. Could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1248</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. Could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1249</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. Could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1251</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. It could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1252</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. It could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1253</td>
<td>I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. It could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density &amp; commerce on Commercial &amp; Liberty. Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1254 I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. It could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density & commerce on Commercial & Liberty.

Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1255 I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. It could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density & commerce on Commercial & Liberty.

Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1256 I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. It could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density & commerce on Commercial & Liberty.

Bill Dixon PS 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1257 I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. It could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density & commerce on Commercial & Liberty.

Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1258 I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. It could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density & commerce on Commercial & Liberty.

Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1259 I strongly oppose R4 on this part of Madrona. It could allow up to 160 residences on a street with bad sight lines, no bike lanes, no marked crossings for pedestrians, too little parking. Keep density & commerce on Commercial & Liberty.

Bill Dixon R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1260 There are no sidewalks and the streets are way too narrow for anything denser

RA 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1261 No sidewalk and narrow streets with Roth’s super close. Hub makes no sense here

RA 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1262 Strongly disagree with proposed CR zoning change at this location. Lone Oak is a steep hill, not suitable for commercial development. No one wants this change. Leave it residential zoning.

NONE 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1263 This is a horrible idea. This road is already way too busy and to narrow to keep up with the current traffic.

NH 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1264 This is presently used as a park. Please make that permanent.

Ken Freeman PA 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1265 The logistics of making this into a commercial enterprise will create a traffic nightmare. How will the business accept deliveries? What does waste service look like?

Ken freeman R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1266 The logistics of making this into a commercial enterprise will create a traffic nightmare. How will the business accept deliveries? What does waste service look like?

Ken freeman R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1267 The logistics of making this into a commercial enterprise will create a traffic nightmare. How will the business accept deliveries? What does waste service look like?

Ken freeman R4 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1268 This area has been used for public amusement/recreation for years. We are glad to see it continue in this capacity.

Dave Cotey RS 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1269 There is already a high concentration of RM2 in this area. If you want to increase density AND affordable home ownership, then make smaller lots suitable for individually owned - not rented - townhouses, row houses, etc.

RS 0 Northgate Neighborhood Association Ward 5 YES

1270 This is already designated PA. It is not a change, it is not a new park.

NONE 0 Northgate Neighborhood Association Ward 5 YES

1271 It should be left as it is because if developed the home owners on Welcome Way and Winding Way would have no access to Commercial ST SE. I agree if anything it could be made into a park area, with parking on Vacation Ln.

Wallace D Lantz RS 97302 Faye Wright Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

1272 This area has been used for public amusement/recreation for years. We are glad to see it continue in this capacity.

PA 97301 State Hospital and Penitentiary (Not a neighborhood) Ward 2 YES

1273 Madrona is NOT a good street to increase housing density on. Its already very busy with people coming from S Salem via Croisan Creek. This street has bad sight angles (especially driving E). Its already very busy w/ the school and church

RS 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1274 Please dont remove a park/public space to add more houses. The neighborhoods need little buffers like this

RS 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1275 I live in this neighborhood and creating a mixed use pedestrian friendly corridor here would vastly improve my quality of life and connection with community, I hope the proposed zoning plan in this area (including the public amusement zoning, is appro

Shea MU-I 97301 Highland Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES
1277 I live in this neighborhood. A neighborhood hub would be a wonderful addition.
Shea NH 97301 Highland Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1278 The car dealership does not contribute to this neighborhood, mixed use zoning will be so positive for myself and my neighbors in this low income and under-resourced area.
Shea MU-I 97301 Highland Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1279 We would love to have a park/outdoor/play space here. There are many low income families currently without easy access to parks in this neighborhood.
NONE 97301 Highland Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1280 This is not a change. It is already a park zoned PA.
Emily Dempster NONE 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1281 Do not add a HUB here. This is close enough for someone to walk to the Plaid Pantry. We do not need more congestion in this area for kids walking to school.
Emily Dempster NONE 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1282 This is not a zone change.
PA 0 Highland Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1283 This is not a zone change.
PA 0 Highland Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1284 This is not a zone change. It is already a park zoned PA.
Highland Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1285 We don't need this. Please do not rezone this area.
RM1 0 Northgate Neighborhood Association Ward 5 YES

1286 I oppose this. Adding a Hub would be dangerous for our kids and families. No parking, narrow streets, four way stops and already too much congestion during school hours.
Emily Dempster NONE 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1287 Area is already flanked by RM2. Either change this to RM1 or make small 2,000sf lots for townhouses to create more affordable home ownership in the area.
RM1 0 Northgate Neighborhood Association Ward 5 YES

1288 This is not a zone change.
PA 0 Highland Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1289 We do not want a retail development in our neighborhood. We are strongly against this zoning change!
CR 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1290 This parcel is not conducive to retail sales. There has been a lot of traffic.
Nancy Myers NONE 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1291 There's a school here. If you are trying to clean up zoning, this should be changed.
PE 0 Northgate Neighborhood Association Ward 5 YES

1292 This parcel is not conducive to retail sales. There has been a lot of traffic.
Nancy Myers NONE 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1293 This is not a good area to have retail. An increase of traffic would create even more accidents. The Mildred/Liberty intersection can be frightening for cars, walkers and cyclists.
Nancy Myers NONE 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1294 This is the location of Blanchard Catholic School. It should be changed to PE zone.
PE 0 East Lancaster Neighborhood Association (ELNA) Ward 6 YES

1295 R4 doesn't require the owner to provide parking - there is no on-street parking on Sunnyview Rd, 45th or Hollywood. Closest parking would be about 1/4 mile away. Having businesses in this area without parking would not be a good idea.
RS 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

1296 R4 doesn't require the owner to provide parking - there is no on-street parking on Sunnyview Rd, 45th or Hollywood. Closest parking would be about 1/4 mile away. Having businesses in this area without parking would not be a good idea.
RS 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

1297 R4 doesn't require the owner to provide parking - there is no on-street parking on Sunnyview Rd, 45th or Hollywood. Closest parking would be about 1/4 mile away. Having businesses in this area without parking would not be a good idea.
RS 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

1298 Please keep this a park. We need the green space in our neighborhood.
Emily Dempster NONE 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1299 Do not add a HUB here. This is close enough for someone to walk to the Plaid Pantry. We do not need more congestion in this area for kids walking to school.
Emily Dempster NONE 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1300 This is the location of Blanchard Catholic School. It should be changed to PE zone.
PE 0 East Lancaster Neighborhood Association (ELNA) Ward 6 YES

1301 We do not want a retail development in or near our neighborhood. We are strongly against this Zoning change!
CR 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1302 R4 without parking doesn't make sense. Do allow this larger lot to be divided into small 2,000sq ft parcels for row houses, townhouses, or cottages.
RM1 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

1303 We do not want a retail development in or near our neighborhood. We are strongly against this zoning change!
CR 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1304 Area is already flanked by RM2. Either change this to RM1 or make small 2,000sf lots for townhouses to create more affordable home ownership in the area.
RM1 0 Northgate Neighborhood Association Ward 5 YES

1305 We do not want a retail development in or near our neighborhood. We are strongly against this Zoning change!
CR 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1306 R4 doesn't make sense as there isn't anywhere for customers to park nearby. Allow parcel to be divided into smaller lot sizes for small, affordable homes.
RS 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES
1305 DO NOT want a retail development in our neighborhood! We are strongly against this zoning change. CR 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1306 We do not want a retail development near our neighborhood. We are strongly against this zoning change! CR 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1307 R4 doesn't make sense as there isn't anywhere to park nearby. It does make sense to create small lots for affordable home ownership. RS 0 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

1308 There are already a lot of RM2 zones in this area, and it's flanked by a lot of R4. If you want to provide increased density, require smaller RS lots. RS 0 East Lancaster Neighborhood Association (ELNA) Ward 6 YES

1309 R4 doesn't make sense as there isn't anywhere to park nearby. It does make sense to create small lots for affordable homeownership. RS 0 East Lancaster Neighborhood Association (ELNA) Ward 6 YES

1310 There aren't any parks in this area, and there will be lots of housing developed. PA 0 Not within a Salem Neighborhood Not within Salem Ward NO

1311 We need parks in this area of the city. PA 0 Not within a Salem Neighborhood Not within Salem Ward NO

1312 We need some parks in this area. PA 0 Not within a Salem Neighborhood Not within Salem Ward NO

1313 We need a park on this side of North Santiam HWY PA 0 East Lancaster Neighborhood Association (ELNA) Ward 6 YES

1314 We need a park on this side of North Santiam HWY PA 0 East Lancaster Neighborhood Association (ELNA) Ward 6 YES

1315 We need a park on this side of North Santiam HWY PA 0 East Lancaster Neighborhood Association (ELNA) Ward 6 YES

1316 We strongly oppose the proposed zoning change. This is a residential area with minimal access, it is not pedestrian friendly and cannot support this change. NONE 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1317 This lot contains a small two-story apartment complex. It's been there for years! RM1 0 Northeast Neighbors (NEN) Ward 1 YES

1318 Please don't approve this. This is a walk-to-school neighborhood and that street is already somewhat of a busy thoroughfare from commercial to river. We don't need or want a neighborhood hub. NH 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1319 Please don't approve this. This is a walk-to-school neighborhood and that street is already somewhat of a busy thoroughfare from commercial to river. We don't need or want a neighborhood hub. NH 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1320 Please don't approve this. This is a walk-to-school neighborhood and that street is already somewhat of a busy thoroughfare from commercial to river. We don't need or want a neighborhood hub. NH 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1321 We strongly oppose a zoning change that would allow businesses in this area. This would bring more traffic, making it less safe for pedestrians and cyclists. We would also bring noise and change the character of this quiet neighborhood. NH 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1322 Please don't approve this. This is a walk-to-school neighborhood and that street is already somewhat of a busy thoroughfare from commercial to river. We don't need or want a neighborhood hub. NH 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1323 We strongly oppose a zoning change that would allow businesses in this area. This would bring more traffic, making it less safe for pedestrians and cyclists. We would also bring noise and change the character of this quiet neighborhood. Mark Beilby NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1324 There is already a shortage of parking at Candalaria. And it's not a good idea to bring unnecessary people into a school location. NH 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1325 There is already a shortage of parking at Candalaria. And it's not a good idea to bring unnecessary people into a school location. NH 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1326 Neighborhood hubs are not wanted in this neighborhood and also do not fit the criteria for what they are designed for on Acacia St. This is a very bad idea and strongly disliked. NONE 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1327 The NH zoning has very few restrictions and we do not want our neighborhood subject to the sales of alcohol, nicotine, adult products. Bad idea. NONE 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES
| 1328 | Quiet established neighborhood that does not desire more traffic and noise. Many business close to us already so this is not needed. This would have a negative affect on our beautiful neighborhood. | NONE | 0 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1329 | possible sales of alcohol, lottery, nicotine products, adult books/pornography. Across from a school, horrible idea! Not wanted in this neighborhood. | NONE | 0 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1330 | This area is already developing terrible traffic, with higher than posted speeds. Additional apartment traffic will only increase this issue, which will further degrade this community. | NONE | 0 | West Salem Neighborhood Association | Ward 8 | YES |
| 1331 | The development of this property increases the risk of slides, which could impact my property. This is a very concerning development. | NONE | 0 | West Salem Neighborhood Association | Ward 8 | YES |
| 1332 | This area already has much traffic between Sprague high school, Kaiser Permanente and Country Companies. It would be a huge burden to Kuebler boulevard and Country drive. It is a well established area of private residences. | Mary Grobe | RS | 97302 | Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1333 | These changes will dramatically change the feel of this neighborhood and reduce property values. I am in firm opposition to this change. | Laurie Skillman | NH | 97302 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1334 | I strongly disagree with the proposal to rezone this area for multi use. The area is not ideal for multi use zoning for multiple reasons. I fear that having anything but single family homes in this specific zone will negatively impact my homes value. | Lisa Turner | MU-I | 97302 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1335 | Opposed. No need or added value/benefit to the neighborhood. We specifically chose this neighborhood as-is. | NH | 97302 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1336 | Oppose. Any benefit would most likely be outweighed by negative effects on the neighborhood. | NH | 7302 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1337 | Oppose. | NH | 97302 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1338 | Opposed. | NH | 97302 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1339 | This area should remain a Single Family Residential zone. We bought into this area because of that. A commercial zone in our back yard is not what we bought into. More foot traffic on Liberty is dangerous if a business there would draw children. | RS | 97306 | South Gateway Neighborhood Association | Ward 4 | YES |
| 1340 | Opposed. No benefit greater than the negative impact on the neighborhood. Chose this neighborhood for a reason. | NH | 97302 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1341 | Oppose. Any benefit would most likely be outweighed by negative effects on the neighborhood. | NH | 7302 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1342 | Oppose. | NH | 97302 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1343 | Opposed. | NH | 97302 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1344 | Opposed. | NH | 97302 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1345 | We oppose this zoning change as the traffic on River Rd would be increased, this area is a 2 lane road and can’t sustain the added traffic. It will negatively impact the livability of those who reside here. | Mary Anne Schaap | NONE | 0 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1346 | We oppose this zoning change as the traffic on River Rd would be increased, this area is a 2 lane road and can’t sustain that much added traffic. It will negatively impact the livability of those who reside here. | Mary Anne Schaap | NONE | 0 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1347 | We oppose this zoning change as the traffic on River Rd would be increased, this area is a 2 lane road and can’t sustain that much added traffic. It will negatively impact the livability of those who reside here. | Mary Anne Schaap | NONE | 0 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1348 | We oppose this zoning change as the traffic on River Rd would be increased, this area is a 2 lane road and can’t sustain that much added traffic. It will negatively impact the livability of those who reside here. | Mary Anne Schaap | NONE | 0 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
| 1349 | We oppose this zoning change as the traffic on River Rd would be increased, this area is a 2 lane road and can’t sustain that much added traffic. It will negatively impact the livability of those who reside here. | Mary Anne Schaap | NONE | 0 | SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) | Ward 7 | YES |
We oppose this zoning change as the traffic on River Rd would be increased, this area is a 2 lane road and can’t sustain that much added traffic. It will negatively impact the livability of those who reside here.

Mary Anne Schaap

We live adjacent this area and STRONGLY oppose the change in zoning for 4394 Kurth St/1433 Cunningham Ln. We fear a NH will cause safety issues, increased traffic, congestion issues, decrease in property value, noise, pollution, and possible future homeless camps. We already have a Neighborhood Hub at Liberty and Cunningham with plenty of shops.

RA

We live adjacent this area and STRONGLY oppose the change in zoning for 4394 Kurth St/1433 Cunningham Ln. We fear a NH will cause safety issues, increased traffic, congestion issues, decrease in property value, noise, pollution, and possible future homeless camps. We already have a Neighborhood Hub at Liberty and Cunningham with plenty of shops.

RA

We live adjacent this area and STRONGLY oppose the change in zoning for 4394 Kurth St/1433 Cunningham Ln. We fear a NH will cause safety issues, increased traffic, congestion issues, decrease in property value, noise, pollution, and possible future homeless camps. We already have a Neighborhood Hub at Liberty and Cunningham with plenty of shops.

RA

Don’t need another NH in this neighborhood! Strongly opposed due to increased traffic, noise and decrease in property values.

RA

We DO NOT want a NH by our home since Sunnyslope shopping is down the street. Cunningham Ln has no sidewalks and the street is already very narrow street with limited visibility. Bad idea!

RA

MF development of the Meyer property is appropriate to meet the proposed housing needs cited in the Draft Policies; considerable development standards and requirements must be applied for compatibility with existing development.

Kenn Battaile

#1. Retain the RS zoning on the east side of 12th St for a depth of 120 feet as a transition between the single family homes on the west side of 12th St and the MF to the east.

Kenn Battaile

This should remain eligible for duplexes

RM1

This should remain eligible for duplexes

RM1

#2 Development in the RS could be a mix of lot sizes [4,000 sq. ft. minimum] with flag lots and zero side yard lots for SF detached, duplexes, or tri-duplexes and with the long dimension of lots running either NS or EW.

Kenn Battaile
This change is fine but there needs to be a hub between Sunnyview and Silverton where children do not need to cross a arterial street to go to the store. If you include the other two corners of the intersection this can be accomplished.

This section of park would be an excellent area for a dog park and enclosed playground similar to the one at Highland and Broadway.

I strongly oppose making the corner of Camellia and Acacia Drive a Neighborhood Hub for commercial business. There is already a Plaid Pantry close by on River Road. This will bring increased traffic into a quiet, walkable family neighborhood.

You guys always do this, you take a neighborhood that is quiet, safe, and a place that everyone loves the way it is and you decide to make it "better". In ten years, traffic has increased, property values fall and people leave. Leave it alone already!

This section of park would be an excellent area for a dog park and enclosed playground similar to the one at Highland and Broadway.

I strongly oppose rezoning the lots directly across from Candalaria Elementary for commercial use as a Neighborhood Hub. A Hub here would bring increased traffic to a sloped school zone already congested for children walking to and from school.

I don't know why we go through this "public comment" charade every time the city want's to screw with a neighborhood. You're going to do it anyway and we all just have to live in it.

Ideally both sides of 12th Street should be improved with curbs, gutters, and sidewalks from Hilfiker Lane north to the existing improvements near Albert Dr.

#1 The south end of Mandy Avenue should be a cul-de-sac with no more than 8 single family units fronting the cul-de-sac; access to Mandy Avenue should not be permitted from multi-family dwelling units.

#2 Because the added traffic drains onto Pringle Rd off Suntree Dr and Copper Glenn Dr where the visibility and traffic speed on Pringle Rd are not appropriate for more traffic turning onto Pringle Rd.

#3 The sidewalk from Hillrose St to Suntree St should be improved including a setback off Pringle/Battle Creek Rds. to discourage pedestrians crossing Pringle/Battle Creek at the curve.

#2 The connection between the Hillrose and Hilfiker streets should be circuitous through the Meyer property to inhibit through traffic from connecting to Commercial to the west or Pringle/Battle Creek to the north and east.

#4 Further, a direct connection between Hillrose and Hilfiker streets is likely to create a major traffic volume requiring redesign of the intersection of Hillrose St and Battle Creek Rd.

We have speeding non stop on the street with no cross walks, lights, stop signs or off street parking on Mildred. there are already new apartments going in, a field that will be developed into RA, Mildred street and safety of the children can NOT have th

BAD BAD idea. The traffic is horrendous here already. no cross walks, stop signs, lights.. speeding.. this will just make it all worse.

#5 The Meyer property access to Hillrose St should be about half way between Sylivan Ave and Pringle/Battle Creek to discourage through traffic using Sylvan.

#6 Discourage excess traffic on Sylvan Ave by installing several speed bumps and not making curb, gutter, or sidewalk improvements.

Cancel the Conditional Use permit for City View Crematorium. It is with 80' of the North Candalaria neighborhoods. It is also the largest noisy fume emitter in the entire Commercial St corridor.

#4 We have speeding non stop on the street with no cross walks, lights, stop signs or off street parking on mildred. there are already new apartments going in, a field that will be developed into RA, Mildred street and safety of the children can NOT have th


#5 The Meyer property access to Hillrose St should be about half way between Sylivan Ave and Pringle/Battle Creek to discourage through traffic using Sylvan.

#6 Discourage excess traffic on Sylvan Ave by installing several speed bumps and not making curb, gutter, or sidewalk improvements.
Ideally both sides of Hillrose St should be improved with curbs, gutters, and sidewalks from Battle Creek south to Hilfiker Park; a few parking places at the south end of the street should be available for the park.

 Kenn Battaile RM1 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

#1 Trees: The removal of the fir trees is appropriate within the residential area as they are a fire safety issue. The tree not appropriate within housing areas; however, firs may be retained in open spaces.

 Kenn Battaile RM1 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

#2 Trees: Retention of the significant native oaks is appropriate, but those to be retained must be identified prior to the first bull dozer entering the property for development.

 Kenn Battaile RM1 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

#1 Both sides of Hilfiker Lane need to be improved with curbs, gutters, and sidewalks from Walgreens entry drive to the east property line of the houses fronting Chaparral Drive.

 Kenn Battaile RM1 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

#2 The Meyer property access to Hilfiker Lane should be at the rear property line of the houses fronting on Chaparral Drive to discourage through traffic using Chaparral.

 Kenn Battaile RM1 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

#3 Discourage excess traffic on Chaparral Drive and Anenka Loop by installing several speed bumps on both of these streets.

 Kenn Battaile RM1 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

This area has a stream and pond - how about a park to complement the Straub corridor? NО Commercial use!

 Disney RA 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

Save the park and extend it across the street to the pond and stream

 PA 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

#4 The intersection and signalization at Commercial St and Hilfiker should be redesigned to meet the demands of additional traffic.

 Doug Crook RA 97302 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

I oppose the rezone proposal for the properties at the corner of Kurth and Cunningham SE. It should remain Residential. There is already a neighborhood hub down the street - it's called sunnyslope shopping center. It has sufficient parking, a large grou

 Tamra Heathershaw-Hart NONE 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

If you put a hub in here, you need to change the speed limit to 30 on all of Eola so people can cross the street. A streetlight at Burley hill should be added as well. People currently drive 50+ since the limit is 40.

 Kenn Battaile NONE 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

#1 Hilfiker Park should be expanded to the west to the east property line of the houses fronting Chaparral Drive and to the south as far as an extension of the north right-of-way line for Wildridge Ave

 Kenn Battaile NONE 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

#3 Access to the houses south of the park and up the hill should be via Wildridge extended to the east to connect with Sunland/Jamestown Sts.

 Kenn Battaile RM1 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

#2 Wildridge should be improved to the east and connect to Sunland/Jamestown Sts. with on street curb parking abutting the park.

 Kenn Battaile NONE 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

#1 Storm Drainage: The northeast and northwest corners of the Meyers property are low points which warrant storm water detention basins.

 Kenn Battaile RM1 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

Please do not put apartments in this area.

 NONE 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Please do not put apartments in this area.

 NONE 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Please do not put apartments in this area.

 NONE 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Please do not put apartments in this area.

 NONE 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

Please do not put apartments in this area.

 NONE 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

No need for a N-Hub here. Fred Meyers, Roths, and lots of eateries are already in walking distance, and I’d rather not have another place like Five Guys and their peanut oil stink up the neighborhood even closer to my place.

 Jeff Jorgensen NH 97302 South Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN) Ward 2 YES

Oppose--As a resident of Holiday Dr S with children who attend Candalaria, I strongly oppose this proposal. It would increase traffic in an already high traffic area that is often unsafe for our children during peak hours.

 JoAnn Barbara Miles NONE 97306 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

#2 Storm Drainage: The northwest corner drains to the north into a covered pipe; the heavily wooded area calls for care while dealing with drainage.

 RM1 0 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

Every time I turn onto Davis from a blind intersection, I feel I'm taking my life in my hands. It is already dangerous, narrow and hard to negotiate. Don't allow increased hazards by changing the zoning.

NONE 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

#3 If Lansford Dr. is an appropriate location for another access to the Meyers property from the west, then great care is necessary to protect the existing trees and reserve a site for a stormwater detention basin.

 Kenn Battaile RM1 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES
1413 PLEASE do not change this area to RM2. This little piece of heaven is needed for our already too crowded area. This would not only affect Sprague HS but would affect the wildlife we all cherish and need to protect. Cheri Posedel NONE 0 Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association Ward 7 YES

1414 Recent (and much appreciated) improvements to Brown Road resulted in an unintended visibility issue coming from Maria Ave. Locating businesses near this intersection would increase pedestrian hazard. Helen Bagley RS 97305 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

1415 I am opposed to the rezoning of this area. River Road cannot accommodate more Commercial traffic. This is steep land and would compromise the integrity of the homes that surround this property. Helen Bagley RS 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1416 Recent (and much appreciated) improvements to Brown Road resulted in unintended visibility issues when coming from Maria Ave. Locating businesses near this intersection would increase pedestrian hazards. Helen Bagley RS 97305 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

1417 Recent (and much appreciated) improvements to Brown Road resulted in unintended visibility issues when coming from Maria Ave. Locating businesses near this intersection would increase pedestrian hazards. Helen Bagley RS 97305 North Lancaster Neighborhood Association (NOLA) Ward 6 YES

1418 Holder and Lone Oak aren't equipped to safely handle the amount of ingress/egress associated with multi-family units. Lone Oak is too steep, narrow, and with poor visibility to support the additional traffic. Mike RA 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1419 The purpose of the proposed zoning change is incongruous with the neighborhood. Nothing about Lone Oak is suited for "pedestrian-oriented development" and Holder isn't enough to support the traffic from such development. Mike RA 97306 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES

1420 #4 If extension of Lansford Drive into the Meyers property should be required, then street, curb, sidewalk, and drainage improvements should be completed from 12th St. to Commercial St on both sides of Lansford St. Mike RA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1421 The proposed change zone of MU-I is not compatible with surrounding properties. The allowed uses threaten the health and safety of our neighborhood. Albert Chira RS 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1422 This lot is in the middle of our neighborhood. It should be zoned residential just like all the other properties around it. Mike RS 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1423 This park is poorly located relative to the potential population served. It should not be located at the intersection to two arterial streets; relocate it west of Reed Rd. within the Fairview property. Mike RA 97302 Morningside Neighborhood Association Ward 3 YES

1424 I encourage a building moratorium until additional infrastructure is in place within the City to accommodate emergency services. Mike RA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1425 This is a ridiculous idea...we don't need anymore people in West Salem...why is the city government pushing all this growth...could it be money?? Mike RA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1426 It is time to replace the people making these decisions...we don't want anymore people in West Salem until another entry and exit path is provided into the city. Mike RA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1427 WSNA desires to leave this zone as is. This is not vacant land & want density to develop along Orchard Heights Brush College corridor. Infrastructure this end of West Salem not supportive of proposal. Want to move Multifamily closer to central core. Steve Anderson for WSNA RA 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1428 WSNA desires to leave this zone as is. This is not vacant land & want density to develop along Orchard Heights Brush College corridor. Infrastructure this end of West Salem not supportive of proposal. Want to move Multifamily closer to central core. Steve Anderson for WSNA RA 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1429 Leave as is not a site that fulfills criteria & not well suited for multi-family. Mike RA 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1430 Leave as RS. Edgewater has a character that we do not want to see destroyed. The overlay zone can be left or grandfathered in. Want Edgewater District to stay as is and keep its unique quality. Steve A for Edgewater Partners RS 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1431 This would a place to consider for MU-1 fits criteria well and the 2004 WSNA Plan for a hub in the Orchard Heights area. Steve A MU-I 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1432 Should look at adding MU-1 to some of the land around this park. Mike MU-I 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1433 Would like to see the ability to have a community center in this area. Steve A NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1434 Would like to be able to include light manufacturing in this area like a continuation of the craft industrial concept previously in this area. Steve A NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1435 I oppose this zoning change. It would add very little benefit but would increase traffic and undermine the beauty of the neighborhood. Shari Schonbrun MU-I 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES
1436 I oppose this zoning change. There are far better places in West Salem to build apartments. Here it would affect not only the beauty of the neighborhood but add to congestion and traffic noise.

1437 There should be no additional businesses or housing built in West Salem until an additional route to Salem Hospital is built.

1438 There should not be such high density housing here...single family only.

1439 Terrible idea...There is a store at the end of Brush College road.

1440 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.

1441 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.

1442 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.

1443 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.

1444 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.

1445 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.

1446 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.

1447 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.

1448 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.

1449 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.

1450 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.

1451 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.

1452 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.
<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1453</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1454</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1455</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1456</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1457</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1458</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1459</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1460</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1461</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1462</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1463</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1464</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1465</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1466</td>
<td>I can't understand why in the world anyone would think this is a good idea. Not only would it be unsafe, it would detract from the beauty of the neighborhood. There is no real benefit, especially since there is shopping so close down the road. I oppose.</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>97304</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1467</td>
<td>I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood.</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1468 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood. R4 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1469 I strongly oppose this zone change. The area currently is single family homes and is surrounded by single family homes. Changing the zoning and increasing the density would lead to more traffic and safety issues in the neighborhood. R4 0 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1470 This should remain residential for lots of reasons. I worry for traffic, access, and the potential affects of slides to the property above. This is not an easy area to access and I fear for the integrity of existing structures. Sue Luft R4 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1471 This is a very congested intersection with no light. I have to floor it and risk getting broadsided just to make in onto Glen Creek. Justin Cherrington RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1472 This is a very congested and dangerous intersection with no light. I have to floor it and risk getting broadsided just to make in onto Glen Creek. Justin Cherrington RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1473 This is a very congested and dangerous intersection with no light. I have to floor it and risk getting broadsided just to make in onto Glen Creek. Justin Cherrington RS 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1474 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. Justin Cherrington RM1 97304 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1475 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. Justin Cherrington RM1 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1476 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. Justin Cherrington RM1 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1477 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no traffic lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1478 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. RM1 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1479 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1480 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1481 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1482 Opposed! This would create more traffic in a residential neighborhood. This neighbor currently has several small shops, eateries and shopping areas ver close by. There is enough people parking on the streets already. NH 97302 SouthWest Association of Neighbors (SWAN) Ward 7 YES

1483 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1484 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1485 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1486 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1487 No business here please. This is a very busy, narrow street. There are no lights, its not safe for pedestrians and very congested. NONE 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 1 YES

1488 There is no shortage of business zoned properties. This area has dangerous congestion with no traffic signals. This is no place to add cars pulling in and out. RS 0 West Salem Neighborhood Association Ward 8 YES

1489 All the trees here need to be removed. This has become a dump for criminals and homeless vandals. Justin Cherrington NONE 0 South Gateway Neighborhood Association Ward 4 YES
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Support Level</th>
<th>Community Name</th>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1490</td>
<td>Please remove the foliage here. Much stolen property ends up here. We have people living and making camp fires/meth labs which are a serious fire hazard in the dry summer months.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>South Gateway Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1491</td>
<td>It'd be fantastic if this was a usable park.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1492</td>
<td>Continuity of area zoning strongly suggests highest use as Residential Single Family, or Residential Agriculture. Proposed zoning adds untenable high risk traffic impacts to low speed residential Red Oak, Addison, Maplewood streets; &amp; Kuebler/Skyline.</td>
<td>Dennis Stephens RA 97302</td>
<td>Sunnyslope Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1493</td>
<td>A large park here would be great.</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>West Salem Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>